Summary of mutagenicity screening studies, host-mediated assay cytogenetics dominant lethal assay-Contract FDA 71-268 & Compound FDA 71-57 (**Menthol**) 1/14/75

BONETC Litton

SUMMARY OF MUTAGENICITY
SCREENING STUDIES
HOST-MEDIATED ASSAY
CYTOGENETICS
DOMINANT LETHAL ASSAY
CONTRACT FDA 71-268
COMPOUND FDA 71-57
MENTHOL

5516 Nicholson Lane Kensington, Maryland 20795 SUMMARY OF MUTAGENICITY
SCREENING STUDIES
HOST-MEDIATED ASSAY
CYTOGENETICS
DOMINANT LETHAL ASSAY
CONTRACT FDA 71-268
COMPOUND FDA 71-57
MENTHOL

SUBMITTED TO

FOOD & DRUG ADMINISTRATION
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION AND WELFARE
ROCKVILLE, MARYLAND

SUBMITTED BY

LITTON BIONETICS, INC. 5516 NICHOLSON LANE KENSINGTON, MARYLAND

JANUARY 14, 1975



January 14, 1975

Mr. Leonard Appleby, Contracting Officer Department of Health, Education and Welfare Public Health Service Food and Drug Administration, CA-212 5600 Fishers Lane, Room 5C-13 Rockville, Maryland 20852

Reference: Contract FDA 71-268; LBI Project #2446

Dear Mr. Appleby:

Litton Bionetics, Inc., is pleased to submit a report for the referenced contract entitled "Mutagenicity Screening Studies" for compound FDA 71-57, Menthol.

Included in this report are the results and raw data of the three tests conducted: Host-Mediated Assay, Cytogenetic Studies and Dominant Lethal Assay. Eight (8) copies are being submitted for your review.

Upon completion of the toxicology work an evaluation was made of our results to those appearing in the literature. In cases where our values were lower, the toxicology was repeated. In some instances either the Host-Mediated Assay, Dominant Lethal Assay and/or Cytogenetic Studies were also repeated at one or more levels to fulfill the requirements of the contract. In some cases, the acute and/or subacute assays were involved.

If there are any questions concerning this report, or, if additional information is required, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Sincerely,

LITTON BIONETICS, INC.

Robert J. Weir, Ph.D.

Vice President

RJW:11s Enclosures (8)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

				Page	No.
I.	REPORT	r	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1	
	Α.			• •	
	А. В.	Objec	duction	. 1	
	C.	Compo	tive	. 2 . 3 . 3	
	٠.	1.	und	. 3	
		2.	Test Material	. 3	
	D.		Dosages	. 3	
	Ĕ.	Summa	ds	. 4	
	L.	Jullilla	ry	. 4	
		1. 2.	Host-Mediated Assay	. 4	
		۷.	Cytogenetics	. 5	
			$a. \underline{1} \underline{n} \underline{v}_1 \underline{v}_0 \dots$	5	
		2	b. <u>In vitro</u>	5	
	F.	3.	DOMITHANT Lethal		
	г.	Kesuli	cs and Discussion	5	
		7.	TOXICITY Data - Test I	5	
			a. <u>In vivo</u>	5	
			D. In vitro	6	
		•	C. loxicity data sheets	Ω	
		2.	nust-Mediated Assay - Test I	12	
			 a. Host-mediated assay summary sheets 	14	
		_	D. MOST-Mediated assay data sheets	16	
	•	3.	loxicity pata - lest II	17	
			a. Acute - Mice	17	
			D. Subacute - Mice	17	
			C. IOXICITY data sheets	/10	
		4.	Host-Mediated Assay - Test II	51	. •
			 Host-mediated assay summary sheets. 	52	
			b. Host-mediated assay data sheets	55	
		5.	Cytogenetics - Test I	77	
			a. <u>In vivo</u>	77	
			b. <u>In vitro</u>	77	
			c. Cytogenetic summary sheets	77 78	
		6.	Cytogenetics - Test II	82	
	•		Cytogenetic summary sheets		
		7.	Dominant Lethal Assay - Test I	83	
			a. Acute study	86	
			b. Subacute study		
	•		c. Dominant lethal assay summary	86	
			sheets	07	
	•	8.	Dominant Lethal Assay - Test II	87	
		•	Dominant lethal assay summary	104	
			sheets	105	
			SHECUS	105	
II.	MATERIA	LS AND	METHODS	130	
					•
	Α.	Animal	Husbandry	130 -	•
		1.	Animals (Rats and Mice)	130	
		2.	Preparation of Diet	130	
•		3.	Husbandry	130	
				130	
			•		



TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

			Page	No.
II.	MATERI	ALS AND METHODS (continued)		
	В.	Dosage Determination	. 130	
	C.	Mutagenicity Testing Protocols 1. Host-Mediated Assay a. Acute study	. 132 . 132 . 133	
		b. Subacute study c. <u>In vitro</u> study 2. Cytogenetic Studies a. <u>In vivo</u> study b. <u>In vitro</u> study	. 135 . 136 . 136	
	D.	 Dominant Lethal Assay Supplementary Materials and Methods Host-Mediated Assay <u>In Vitro</u> and Formulae 	. 140 . 141	
		a. Bacterial in vitro plate tests b. In vitro for mitotic recombination c. Minimal medium (bacteria) d. Complete medium (bacteria) e. Complete medium (yeast)	. 141 . 141 . 142 . 143	
	,	2. Cytogenetics <u>In Vitro</u> Preparation of Anaphase Chromosomes		
		3. Statistical Analyses of Dominant Lethal Studies	. 145 . 145 . 145 . 146 . 146 . 146	
	E	References 1. Host-Mediated Assay 2. Cytogenetics 3. Dominant Lethal	. 149 . 149 . 149	
	F.	Abbreviations	151	




I. REPORT

A. Introduction

Litton Bionetics, Inc. (LBI) has investigated the possible mutagenicity of compounds selected and provided by the Food and Drug Admin-istration under Contract 71-268. LBI's investigation utilized the three mammalian test systems herein described -- Host-Mediated Assay, Cytogenetic Studies and Dominant Lethal Assay. These tests provide information as to the types of genetic damage caused by environmental compounds -- pesticides, chemicals, food additives, drugs and cosmetics.

The Host-Mediated Assay is based upon the assumption that the action of a mutagen on the genetics of bacteria is similar to that in man. This is further strengthened by the use of an eukaryotic organism (Saccharomyces cerevisiae). Since the mutation frequencies are well established for the indicator organism, any deviation due to the action of the test compound is readily detectable. As some compounds are mutagenic in bacteria and not in the host animal, and vice versa, this test is able to differentiate an action which may have been due to hosts' ability to detoxify or potentiate a suspected mutagen. This action is dependent upon the ability of the compound to gain access to the peritoneal cavity. Coupled with the direct action of the compound on the indicator organism in vitro, the assay provides a clear insight into host-mediation of mutagenicity.

Cytogenetics provides a valuable tool for the direct observation of chromosomal damage in somatic cells. Alteration of the chromosome number and/or form in somatic cells may be an index of mutation. These studies utilized examination of bone marrow cells arrested in C-metaphase from rats exposed to the test compound as compared to positive and negative control animals. If mutational



changes occur, the types of damage expected due to the action of chemicals are structural rearrangements, breaks and other forms of damage to the chromosomal complement of the cells exposed.

For the <u>in vitro</u> cytogenetic studies, we have a more rapid and inexpensive means of determining chromosomal damage. This is accomplished by observing cells in anaphase. As the chromatids separate and move along the spindle, aberrations may occur. Chromatids which do not migrate to the daughter cells may lead to uneven distribution of parts or of entire chromatids (mitotic nondysjunction). These give rise to "side arm" bridges which have been interpreted as point stickiness or localized failures of chromosome duplication point errors. These aberrations (bridges, pseudochiasmata, multipolar cells, acentric fragments, etc.) are extremely sensitive indicators of genetic damage.

The Dominant Lethal Test is an accurate and sensitive measure of the amount and type of fetal wastage which may occur following administration of a potential mutagen. Dominant lethal mutations are indicators of lethal genetic lesions. The effects of mutagens on the chromosomal complement of the spermatozoa of treated males results in alterations of form and number of chromosomes. Structural rearrangements and aneuploidy may lead to the production of non-viable zygotes, early and late fetal deaths, abortions and congenital malformations. In addition, aberrations could lead to sterility or reduced reproductive capacity of the F_1 generation. The action of a mutagen on specific portions of spermatogenesis is also apparent in this test.

B. Objective

The purpose of these studies is to determine any mutagenic effect of the test compound by employing the Host-Mediated Assay, Cytogenetic Studies



and the Dominant Lethal Assay, both <u>in vivo</u> and <u>in vitro</u> tests are employed with the cytogenetic and microbial test systems. These tests and their descriptions are referenced in the Appendices A through F.

C. Compound

1. Test Material

Compound FDA 71-57, Menthol, Natural, Brazilian, as supplied by the Food and Drug Administration.

2. Dosages

The animals employed, the determination of the dosage levels and the route of administration are contained in the technical discussion.

The dosage levels employed for compound FDA 71-57 are as follows for the Cytogenetic Studies <u>in vivo</u> in rats.

	Test I ⁺	Test II ⁺
Low Level	1.45 mg/kg	500.0 mg/kg (acute)
Intermediate Level	14.5 mg/kg	3000.0 mg/kg (acute)
LD ₅	145.0 mg/kg	1150.0 mg/kg (subacute)
Negative Control	Saline	Saline
Positive Control (TEM*)	0.3 mg/k g	0.3 mg/kg

The dosage levels employed for **com**pound FDA 71-57 are as follows for the Host-Mediated Assay <u>in vivo</u> in mice.

	Test I ⁺	Test II ⁺
Low Level	1.45 mg/ kg	
Intermediate Level	14.5 mg/kg	500.0 mg/kg (acute)
LD ₅	145.0 mg/ kg	5000.0 mg/kg (acute)
5	•	1150.0 mg/kg (subacute)
Negative Control	Saline	Saline
Positive Control (EMS**)	350 mg/ kg	350 mg/kg
(DMN***)	100 mg/ kg	100 mg/kg

^{*} Triethylene Melamine



^{**} Ethyl Methane Sulfonate

^{***} Dimethyl Nitrosamine

⁺ These two tests were performed at different time intervals.

The dosage levels employed for compound FDA 71-57 are as follows for the Dominant Lethal Assay \underline{in} \underline{vivo} in rats.

	Test I ⁺	Test II ⁺
Low Level	1.45 mg/kg	500.0 mg/kg (acute)
Intermediate Level	14.5 mg/kg	3000.0 mg/kg (acute)
LD ₅	145.0 mg/kg	1150.0 mg/kg (subacute)
Negative Control	Saline	Saline
Positive Control (TEM*)	0.3 mg/kg	0.3 mg/kg

The $\underline{\text{in vitro}}$ Cytogenetic Studies were performed employing three logarithmic dose levels.

Low Level	0.1 mcg/ml
Medium Level	1.0 mcg/ml
High Level	10.0 mcg/ml
Negative Control	Saline
Positive Control (TEM*)	0.1 mcg/ml

The discussion of this test is contained in the technical discussion.

D. <u>Methods</u>

The protocols employed are explained in Appendices C and D.

E. Summary

Host-Mediated Assay

This compound produced no significant increases in mutant or recombinant frequencies when tested against <u>Salmonella</u> TA-1530 subacute dose levels and G-46 acute and subacute levels and <u>Saccharomyces</u> D3 acute dose levels, respectively. The acute high dose level caused a significantly increased mutant frequency with TA-1530. The subacute dose levels caused elevated recombinant frequencies with <u>Saccharomyces</u> D3. All <u>in vitro</u> tests were negative except D3 which was slightly elevated.

⁺These two tests were performed at different time intervals.



^{*}Triethylene Melamine

2. Cytogenetics

a. <u>In vivo</u>

The compound produced no detectable significant aberration of the bone marrow metaphase chromosomes of rats when administered orally at the dosage levels employed in this study.

b. In vitro

The compound produced no significant aberration in the anaphase chromosomes of human tissue culture cells when tested at the dosage levels employed in this study.

3. Dominant Lethal

This compound was considered to be non-mutagenic in this assay system when used at the dosage levels employed in this study in rats.

F. Results and Discussion

Toxicity Data - Test I

a. In vivo

Compound FDA 71-57 was suspended in 0.85% saline and administered to ten male rats by intubation. The average weight of the animals was 250 grams and each received a dose of 5000 mg/kg. All animals died within 24 hours. Necropsy indicated severe irritation of the mucosal lining of the stomach and intestine.

Dose levels of 100, 250, 500, 1000, 2000 and 3000 mg/kg were selected to determine an acute $\rm LD_{50}$. The toxicity data is presented on the $\rm LD_{50}$ reporting form using the Litchfield-Wilcoxson method.

The LD $_{50}$ was determined as 940 mg/kg. The LD $_{5}$ dose level was derived from the probit line. The dose levels used were LD $_{5}$ - 145 mg/kg, intermediate - 14.5 mg/kg and low - 1.45 mg/kg. The data on the



dose levels, numbers of animals and necropsy findings are presented in the toxicity data sheets.

b. <u>In vitro</u>

The compound was suspended in 0.85% saline at the concentrations listed above. It was introduced into tubes containing WI-38 cells in a logarithmic phase of growth. The cells were observed for cytopathic effect (CPE) and the presence of mitosis at 24 and 48 hours.

Tube No.	No. of Cells	Conc.	CPE	<u>Mitosis</u>
1	5 X 10 ⁵	500	+	-
2	it	500	+	_
3	ti	100	+	
4	u	100	+	-
5	11	50	+	-
6	II	50	+	-
7	44	10		+
8	11	10	-	+
9	11	1	-	+
10	88	1.	-	+

Since an inhibition of mitosis was observed, a closer range of concentrations was employed as follows.

-
- '
-
+
+ .
+
+

The 20 mcg/ml concentration was used as the high level, 2.0 mcg/ml as the intermediate level and 0.2 mcg/ml as the low level.

c. TOXICITY DATA SHEETS

CONTRACT FDA 71-268

COMPOUND FDA 71-57

MENTHOL

TEST I



TOXICITY DATA

COMPOUND FDA 71-57

Solvent:

0.85% saline

Dosage Form:

Suspension

Animals:

Male rats with an average body weight of 250 grams. animals were observed for 10 days. A11

Range Findings:

	Dose mg/kg	# <u>Dead</u> # Animals	Day of Death and Necropsy
	5000	10/10	Day 1: Severe irritation of mucosal lining of the stomach and intestine.
LD ₅₀ :			
	100	0/5	None
	250	0/5	None
	500	1/5	Day 6: Severe irritation of mucosal lining of the stomach and intestine.
	1000	3/5	Day 4 (2) and Day 5 (1):
			Severe irritation of mucosal lining of the stomach and intestine.
	2000	4/5	Day 2: Severe irritation of mucosal lining of the stomach and intestine.
•	3000	5/5	Day 1 (1), Day 2 (3) and Day 4 (1):
			Severe irritation of mucosal lining of the stomach and intestine.



LD50 REPORTING FORM USING LITCHFIELD-WILCOMON METHOD

DOSE EFFECT CURVE FOR FDA Compound71-57 Menthol

DOSE	PROPORTION	ODSERVED PERCENT	EXPECTED PERCENT	OBS-DMPO (COMPRIB. TO (chi) ²
250	0/5	0	2		
500	1/5	20	16		
1000	3/5	60	55		
2000	4/5	80	89		
3000	5/5	100	97	-	
 			-		

Total animals = $\frac{25}{}$ Number Doses, $K = \frac{5}{}$ Animals/Dose = $\frac{5}{}$ Decrees of Freedom, $n=k-2=\frac{3}{}$

(CHI)² for n of k-2 = $\frac{7.81}{}$ since $\frac{.730}{}$ is less than $\frac{7.81}{}$, therefore data not significantly heterogeneous

 $LD_{84} = 1800$ $LD_{50} = 940$ $LD_{16} = 500$

 $fLD_{50} = s = \frac{2.77}{\sqrt{N!}} = \frac{1.90}{\sqrt{N!}} = \frac{2.77}{\sqrt{N!}} = \frac{1.90}{\sqrt{10}} = \frac{2.77}{\sqrt{10}} = (1.90)^{.876} = 1.76$

 $LD_{50} \times feD_{50} = \underline{(940)(1.76) = 1654}$ $LD_{50} = \underline{(940)/(1.76) = 534}$

fLD₅₀

LD₅₀ and 19/20 Confidence Limits = $P\left\{534 \leq LD_{50} \leq 1654\right\}$ = .95. Attached should be a plot of the dose-effect curve on log-probit paper.

2. Host-Mediated Assay - Test I

Compound FDA 71-57 caused no significant increases in mutant or recombinant frequencies when tested against Salmonella G-46 at all dose levels, Salmonella TA-1530 subacute dose levels and Saccharomyces

D3 acute dose levels, respectively. Tests against TA-1530 acute levels showed increasing mutant frequencies with increasing dose levels with the high dose being a weakly positive but significant reaction. The subacute levels with Saccharomyces D3 showed increased recombinant frequencies with no dose response. The negative control may be slightly low, but even at the level of 4.0, the compound would show some minimal activity.

All <u>in vitro</u> screens were negative with <u>Salmonella</u> TA-1530 and G-46. The compound, with <u>Saccharomyces</u> D3, produced four times as many recombinants per 10^5 as did the negative control.

Compound: FDA 71-57 Menthol

		1	In Vivo	
	4	Possible	.n vivo	
Indicator Strain	In Vitro	Low Recoveries	Controls	Other Comments
TA-1530	pos.	NC PC	NC OK	1. Acute high dose may show a weak positive
12/18/72 Acutes 1/5/73 S-acutes	neg	AL AI	PC OK	response - the acute doses do follow a
		AH SANC	SANC OK	dose response adding weight to the possible
		SAL	SAPC OK	positive response.
• .		SAH	•	All other doses clearly negative
		·		
G-46				
1/3/73 Acutes 1/19/73 S-acutes	pos.	NC PC	NC OK	1. All doses negative
1/1/// 0-204000	neg.	AL. AI	PC OK	
•		AH SANC	SANC OK SAPC OK	
		SAL SAI	DAPC UN	
		SAH		
D3*		NC .	NC OK	
1/8/73 Acutes 4/23/73 S-acutes	pos.	NC PC		1. Acute doses negative.
•	neg	AL AI AH	PC OK	2. Subacute doses appear positive although
		SANC SAL	SANC Little	no dose response. I would feel more comf- ortable if the SANC
		SAI SAH	SAPC OK	were closer to the typical range of 4-5/105.
		37,11	•	If it were - these freq. might appear negative.

Summary: Compound 71-57 may have some genetic activity in TA-158 and D-3 although the responses are not very strong. Certainly additional testing would be necessary to confirm. The results with G-46 are clearly negative.

These results should be accepable.

Wavil Brush

a. HOST-MEDIATED ASSAY SUMMARY SHEETS

CONTRACT FDA 71-268

COMPOUND FDA 71-57

MENTHOL

TEST I

HOST MEDIATED ASSAY

SUMMARY SHEET

COMPOUND: FDA	71-57	SALMON	JELLA		SACCHAROMY	CEC D-3
	TA153		G-46	;	SACCHAROMI	CE3 D=3
	MMF (X 10E-8)	MFT/MFC	MMF (X 10E-8)	MFT/MFC	MRF (X 10E-5)	MRT/MRC
ACUTE NC PC AL AI LD5	.38 5.40 .66 .82	14.21 1.74 2.16 4.55	.59 29.63 1.22 .98 1.35	50.22 2.07 1.66 2.29	3.95 30.39 8.02 8.08 11.19	7.69 2.03 2.05 2.83
SUBACUTE NC SL SI SLD5	•34 •69 •46 •80	2.03 1.35 2.35	.60 1.25 .86 .61	2.08 1.43 1.02	2.64 13.24 10.99 12.41	5.02 4.16 4.70
IN VITRO TCPD NC PC	TA1530 - - +	G-46 - - +	% CONC 1.0 - 0.5	D-3 % SURVIVAL 61.6 100.0 59.7	R X 10E 21 5 293	5

STOP SRU'S:.7 CONTRACT FDA 71-268

COMPOUND FDA 71-57

MENTHOL

TEST I



COMPOUNDT FDA 71-57

ORGANISM: SALMONELLA TA1530

DOSE LEVEL: NEGATIVE CONTROL - SALINE (ACUTE)

TREATMENT: IN VIVO, ORAL, ACUTE

DATE STARTED: DECEMBER 18, 1972

	Å	В	C	D
ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X 10E7/0.eML	TOTAL CFU X	TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X 10E0/1.0ML	MUTATION FRE (C/B) X 10E-8
1 :	30.60	5.10	2.00	• 3 9
ž	42.60	7.10	3.00	.42
3	32.90	5.48	1.00	• 18
4	35.80	5.97	2.00	. 34
5	42.80	7.13	2.00	.28
6	47.70	7.95	5.00	•63 *
7	31.10	5.18	2.00	.39

NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS 7
TOTAL CFU OUT OF RANGE EQUALS 2
SAMPLES WITH ZERO MUTANTS EQUAL 1

	COL. 3	COL. C	COL. D
	(X 1058)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-6)
MEAN	6.27	2.43	•38
RAMGE	2,85	4.00	• 45
MAX	7.95	5.00	•63
MIN	5.10	1.00	.18

* SUMMARY WITH OUTLIERS REMOVED

	COL. B	COL. C	COL. D
	(X 10E8)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)
MEAN	5.99	2.00	•33
RANGE	2.03	ޕ00	•24
MAX	7.13	3.00	.42
MIN	5.10	1.0 0	•18

STOP

MAX

NO OUTLIERS

COMPOUND®	FDA 71-57		ORGANISM: SALI	MONELLA TA153
DOSE LEVEL	: POSITIVE CON	NTHOL - DMN - 1	LOO MG/KG (ACUTE	E)
TREATMENT:	IN VIVO, ORAL	. ACUTE	DATE STARTED:	DECEMBER 18, 1973
	À	В	C TOTAL NO.	D
ANIMAL Number	RAW CFU X 10E7/0.6ML	TOTAL CFU X 10E8/1.0ML	MUTANTS X 10E0/1.0ML	MUTATION FRÉ (CZB) X 106-8
1 2	53.3 0 23.7 0	8.88 3.95	30.00 35.00	-3.38 -3.4
2 3 4	45.50 31.00	7.58 5.17	19.00 41.00	8.86 2.51 7.94
5 6 7	34.30 33.20 37.30	5.72 5.53	27.00 28.00	4.72 5.06
8	33.50	6.22 5.58	22.00 40.00	3,54 7,16
	MALS EQUALS Taminated equa	LS 2		
		COL. ∺ (X 10E%)	COL. C (X 10E0)	COL. D (X 10E-8)
	MEAN RANGE	6.08 4.93	30.25 22.00	5.40 6.36

8.88

3.95

41.00

19.00

8.86

2.51

COMPOUNDT	FDA 71-57		ORGANISM: SAL	MOMELLA TA1530
DOSE LEVE	L: LOW - 1.45	4 G / < G		.di
TREATMENT	: IN VIVO, ORAL	. ACUTE	DATE STARTED:	DECEMBER 18, 19
	A	В	C	D
ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X 10E7/0.SML	TOTAL CFU X	TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X	MUTATION FRE (C/B)
NOMBER	INC IN O OWE	10E8/1.0ML	10E0/1.0ML	A 10E-8
1	37.50	6.25	5.00	.80
2	34.40	5.73	2.00	•35
3	34.70	5.78	4.00	69
4	48.00	8.00	3.00	. 3 ∄
.5 .6	30.40	5.07	6.00	1.18 *
7	35.70	5.95	4.00	.67
, 8	32.00	5.33	3.00	• 56
9	44.00 31.70	7.33 5.28	4.00	• 55
,	51.10	5,40	4.00	.76
	IMALS EQUALS NTAMINATED EQUA	9 NLS 1		
		COL. 3	COL. C	COL. D
		(X 10EB)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)
	MEAN	5.68	3.89	•66
	RANGE	2.93	4.00	• • 4
	MAX	8.00	6.00	1.18
	MIN	5 • 0 7	2.00	• 35
	• •	SUMMARY WITH C	OUTLIERS REMOVED)
		COL. 8	COL. C	COL. D
		(X 10E8)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)
	MEAN	6.21	3.63	•59
	RANGE	2.72	3.00 3.00	• 45
	MAX	8.00	5.00	- 80

8.00

5.23

5.00

2.00

XAM MIN

STOP

19

.80 .35

COMPOUND FDA 71-57		ORGANISM: SALMONELLA TA1530		
DOSE LEVEL:	INTERMEDIATE	E - 14.50 MG/KG		J
TREATMENT:	IN VIVO, ORAL	. ACUTE	DATE STARTED:	DECEMBER 18, 1972
	A	В	C	D
 ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X 10E7/0. ML	TOTAL CFU X	TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X 10E0/1.0ML	MUTATION FRE (C/B) X 10E-8
	AMINATED EQUA		2.00 8.00 6.00 4.00 8.00 7.00 2.00	.32 1.22 .97 .60 1.43 .83
IOTAL CHU C	OUT OF RANGE E	COL. B	COL. C	COL. D
	MEAN RANGE MAX MIN	(X 10Ed) 6.59 3.02 8.43 5.42	(X 10£0) 5.29 5.00 8.00 2.00	(X 10E-8) •82 1.17 1.48 •30
NO OUTLIERS		•	* · · ·	

			· · ·		
**ANNOTATION OF	COMPOUND	FDA 71-57		ORGANISM: SAL	MONELLA ȚA1530
eral.	DOSE LEVE	L: LD5 - 145.0	0 MG/KG		• •
	TREATMENT	: IN VIVO, ORA	L. ACUTE	DATE STARTED:	DECEMBER 18, 1972
		A	В	С	D
	ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X	TOTAL CFU X	TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X 1020/1.0ML	MUTATION FRE (C/B) X 10E-8
	1	51.60	8,60	10.00	1.16
	2 3	45.40 123.00	7.57 20.50	17.00 3.00	2.25 .15 *
	4 5	34.80 42.00	5.80 7.00	12.00	2.07
7.4. We want of the	6	30.60 31.80	5.10 5.30	9.00 12.00	1.29 2.35
kod .: 	8	38.00	6.33	11.00 16.00	2.08 2.53
		IMALS EQUALS OUT OF RANGE E	EAUALS 2		
	,		***		
			COL, 8 (X 1088)	COL. C (X 10E0)	COL. D (X 105-8)
		MEAN	8.28	11.25	1.73
31		HANGE	15.40	14.00	2.38
		MAX MIN	20.50	17.00	2.53
7		14. T. 14	5 • 1 0	3.00	•15
	*.	#	SUMMARY WITH C	OUTLIERS REMOVED	1
		•	COL.		
			(X 10E8)	COL. C (X 10E0)	COL. D (X 102-a)
	•	MEAN	6.53	12.43	1.96
		RAMGE	3.50	$\tilde{\mathbf{s}}_{\bullet}\tilde{0}\tilde{0}$	1.36
		MAX . MIN	8.60	17.00	2.53
STOP		974 ∓ 4 A	5.10	´9• <u>0</u> 0	1.16
a -					

COMPOUND	COMPOUNDY FDA 71:57		ORGANISM: SALMONELLA TA153		
DOSE LEVEL	: NEGATIVE CO	NTROL - SALINE	(SUBACUTE)		
TREATMENT:	IN VIVO, ORAL	_, ACUTE	DATE STARTED:	JANUARY 5. 1973	
-	Ä.	В	С	O	
			TOTAL NO.	MUTATION	
ANIMAL		TOTAL CFU X		FRE (C/B)	
NUMBER	10E7/0.6ML	10E8/1.0ML	10E0/1.0ML	X 10E-8	
1	51.40	8.57	4.00	• 47	
ž	31.60	5.27	1.00	.1 0	
3	31.20	5.20	3.00	• 5 %	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	32.80	5.47	2.00	.37	
5	71.10	11.85	2.00	.17	
6	33. 20	5.53	3.00	• 5 Å	
7	47.20	7.87	2.00	•25	
8	34.60	5.77	1.00	•17	
NO OF ANT	MALS EQUALS	2			
	OUT OF RANGE	-			
		COL. ⊌	COL. C	COL. D	
		(X 10E8)	(X 10EŌ)	(X 10E-8)	
	MEAN	6.94	2 .25	.34	
	RANGE	6.65	3.00	•41	
	MAX	11.05	4.00	• Śâ `	
	MIN	5.20	1.00	•17	
NIA ALITE - FE	. ~				

NO OUTLIERS

STOP

22

COMPOUND	FDA 71-57		ORGANISM: SALI	MONELLA TA1530
DOSE LEVE	L: POSITIVE CO	NTROL - DMN -	100 MG/KG (SUBA	CUTE)
	: IN VIVO. ORA			JANUARY 5, 1973
	A	В	. C :	D
		•	TOTAL NO.	MUTATION
ANIMAL	RAW CFU X	TOTAL CFU X	MUTANTS X	FRE (C/R)
NUMBER	10E7/0.6ML	10E8/1.0ML	10E0/1.0ML	X 10E-8
. 1 .	31.70	5.28	42.00	7.95
Ż	30.40	5.07	41.00	8.09
3	35.80	5.97	35.00	5.87
4	60.20	10.03	38.00	3.79
5	54. 80	^9.13	62.00	6.79
6	32.40	5.40	57.00	10.56 #
7	44.00	7.33	42.00	5.73
8	41.20	6.87	50.00	7.28
NO. OF AN	IMALS EQUALS	és		
TOTAL CFU	OUT OF RANGE E			
		COL. A	COL. C	001 0
		(X 10E8)	(X 10EÕ)	COL. D
	MEAN	6.89	45.88	(X 10E-a)
	RANGE	4.97	27.00	7.1
	MAX	10.03	62.00	6.77
	MIN	5.07	35.00	10.56
	1		3200	3.79
		SUMMARY WITH O	UTLIERS REMOVED	
		COL. B	COL. C	COL. D
		(X 10E8)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)
•	MEAN	7.10	44.29	6.50
	RANGE	4.97	27.00	à 30

4.97

5.07

10.03

27.00

62.00

35.00

MAX

MIN

STOP

23

4.30

8.09

3.79

ORGANISM: SALMONELLA TA1530

COMPOUNDY FDA 71-57

STOP

DOSE LEVE	L: LOW - 1.45 /	MG/KG		
TREATMENT	: IN VIVO, ORAL	. SUBACUTE	DATE STARTED:	JAMUARY 5, 1973
	A	В	C TOTAL NO.	D NOITATUM
ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X 10E7/0.6ML	TOTAL CFU X	MUTANTS X 10E0/1.0ML	FRE (C/B) X 10E-8
	30.80 54.40 98.50 38.00 32.80 85.70 91.20	5.13 9.07 16.42 6.33 5.47 14.28 15.20	5.00 4.00 5.00 6.00 6.00 7.00 9.00	.97 .44 .30 .95 1.10 .49 .59
NO OUTLIEF	OUT OF RANGE E MEAN RANGE MAX MIN	COL. 5 (X 10Ed) 10.27 11.28 16.42 5.13	COL. C (X 10£0) 6.00 5.00 9.00 4.00	COL. D (X 10E-8) .69 .79 1.10 .30

			4	
COMPOUND	FDA 71-57		UNGANISM: SALM	IONELLA TA153
DOSE LEVEL	: INTERMEDIATE	E - 14.50 MG/KG		
TREATMENT:	IN VIVO. ORAL	. SUBACUTE	DATE STARTED:	ANUARY 5, 1973
	Д	8	C	D
ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X 10E7/0.6ML	TOTAL CFU X 10E8/1.0ML	TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X 10E0/1.0ML	MUTATION FRE (C/B) % 106-8
	33.20 31.90 38.00 30.20 40.70 55.50 31.00 31.10 70.20 MALS EQUALS OUT OF RANGE	5.53 5.32 6.33 5.03 6.78 9.25 5.17 5.18 11.70	2.00 1.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 2.00 3.00 5.00 4.00	.36 .19 .47 .60 .44 .22 .58 .96 #
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		COL. ⊕ (X 10∈8)	COL. C (X 10E0)	COL. D (X 102-6)
	MEAN RANGE MAX	6.70 6.67 11.70	2.89 4.00 5.00	• 46 • 78 • 96
	MIN	5.03	1.00	.19
· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	#	SUMMARY WITH O	UTLIERS REMOVE	,
•	MEAN RANGE MAX MIN	COL. 8 (X 1068) 6.89 6.67 11.70 5.03	COL. C (X 10E0) 2.63 3.00 4.00 1.00	COL. 0 (X 10E-8) .40 .41 .60

STOP

COMPOUND FDA 71-57		ORGANISM: SALMONELLA TA153:			
DOSE LEVE	L: LD5 - 145.00	0 MG/KG		E-	
TREATMENT	: IN VIVO, ORAL	- SUBACUTE	DATE STARTED:	JAMUARY 5, 19	73
	, A .	В	C	D	
ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X 10E7/0.6ML	TOTAL CFU X	TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X 10E0/1.0ML	MUTATION FŘE (C/B) X 105-8	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	81.20 31.40 37.20 38.40 39.00 46.70 53.70 63.20 31.80	13.53 5.23 6.20 6.40 6.50 7.78 8.95 10.53 5.30	4.00 2.00 8.00 7.00 10.00 2.00 6.00 10.00 4.00	.30 .38 1.29 1.09 1.54 .26 .67 .95	
MO OF COM	NTAMINATÉD EQUA	<u>-</u>	20.		
NO OUTLIER	MEAN RANGE MAX MIN	COL. 3 (X 10E8) 7.83 8.30 13.53 5.23	COL. C (X 10EU) 5.89 8.00 10.00 2.00	COL. D (X 10E-3) .80 1.28 1.54 .26	

STOP.

			NTROL - SALINE	w. • •	•
	IREAIMENI	: IN VIVO, ORAL	L, ACUTE	DATE STARTED:	JANUAR: 3, 197
		А	В	C	b
*	ANIMAL	RAW CFU X	TOTAL OFFICE	TOTAL NO.	MUTATION
	NUMBER	10E7/0.6ML	TOTAL CFU X	MUTANTS X	FRE (C/B)
	HOMBER	TATIVATONE	10E8/1.0ML	10E0/1.0ML	X 10E-8
	1	86.40	14.40	6.00	.42
	Ź	57.70	9.62	4.00	•42
	2 3	73.50	12.25	7.00	. \$ 7
	4	68.5∪	11.42	7.00	.6i
	5	65.10	10.85	4.00	.37
	6 7	97.20	16.20	8.00	• 49
	<i>I</i>	65.70	10.95	3.00	•27
	Ġ				
•	8	30.80	5.13	8.00	1.56 *
	8 NO. OF AN		5 . 13		
	8 NO. OF AN	30.80 IMALS EQUALS:	5.13 ALS 2	8.00	1.56 *
	8 NO. OF AN	30.80 IMALS EQUALS:	5.13 ALS 2 COL. 8	8.00 COL. C	1.56 * COL. D
	8 NO. OF AN	30.80 IMALS EQUALS NTAMINATED EQUA	5.13 ALS 2 COL. 3 (X 10E8)	8.00 COL. C (X 10E0)	1.56 * COL. D (X 10E-5)
	8 NO. OF AN	30.80 IMALS EQUALS:	5.13 ALS 2 COL. 3 (X 10ES) 11.35	8.00 COL. C (X 10E0) 5.88	1.56 * COL. D (X 10E-5) .59
	8 NO. OF AN	30.80 IMALS EQUALS NTAMINATED EQUA MEAN	5.13 ALS 2 COL. 3 (X 10E8)	8.00 COL. C (X 10E0) 5.88 5.00	1.56 * COL. D (X 10E-6) .59 1.28
	8 NO. OF AN	30.80 IMALS EQUALS NTAMINATED EQUA MEAN RANGE	5.13 6 COL. 6 (X 10E8) 11.35 11.07	8.00 COL. C (X 10E0) 5.88 5.00 8.00	1.56 * COL. D (X 10E-6) .59 1.28 1.56
	8 NO. OF AN	30.80 IMALS EQUALS NTAMINATED EQUA MEAN RANGE MAX	5.13 ALS 2 COL. 3 (X 10E8) 11.35 11.07 16.20	8.00 COL. C (X 10E0) 5.88 5.00	1.56 * COL. D (X 10E-6) .59 1.28
	8 NO. OF AN	30.80 IMALS EQUALS NTAMINATED EQUA MEAN RANGE MAX	5.13 COL. 3 (X 10ES) 11.35 11.07 16.20 5.13	8.00 COL. C (X 10E0) 5.88 5.00 8.00	1.56 * COL. D (X 10E-5) .59 1.28 1.46 .27
	8 NO. OF AN	30.80 IMALS EQUALS NTAMINATED EQUA MEAN RANGE MAX	5.13 COL. 3 (X 10ES) 11.35 11.07 16.20 5.13	8.00 COL. C (X 10E0) 5.88 5.00 8.00	1.56 * COL. D (X 10E-5) .59 1.28 1.46 .27
	8 NO. OF AN	30.80 IMALS EQUALS NTAMINATED EQUA MEAN RANGE MAX	5.13 COL. 3 (X 10E8) 11.35 11.07 16.20 5.13 SUMMARY WITH O	8.00 COL. C (X 10E0) 5.88 5.00 8.00 3.00	1.56 * COL. D (X 10E-5) .59 1.28 16 .27
	8 NO. OF AN	30.80 IMALS EQUALS NTAMINATED EQUA MEAN RANGE MAX	5.13 COL. 3 (X 10E8) 11.35 11.07 16.20 5.13 SUMMARY WITH OF	8.00 COL. C (X 10E0) \$.88 5.00 8.00 3.00 UTLIERS REMOVE	1.56 * COL. D (X 10E-5) .59 1.28 1.26 .27
	8 NO. OF AN	30.80 IMALS EQUALS NTAMINATED EQUA MEAN RANGE MAX MIN MEAN	5.13 COL. 3 (X 10E3) 11.35 11.07 16.20 5.13 SUMMARY WITH OF	00L. C (X 10E0) 5.88 5.00 8.00 3.00 UTLIERS REMOVE:	1.56 * COL. D (X 10E-5) .59 1.28 1.26 .27 COL. D (X 10E-8)
	8 NO. OF AN	30.80 IMALS EQUALS NTAMINATED EQUA MEAN RANGE MAX MIN MEAN RANGE	5.13 COL. 3 (X 10E8) 11.35 11.07 16.20 5.13 SUMMARY WITH OR (X 10E8) 12.24 6.58	8.00 COL. C (X 10E0) \$.88 5.00 8.00 3.00 UTLIERS REMOVE	1.56 * COL. D (X 10E-5) .59 1.28 1.26 .27 COL. D (X 10E-8) .45
	8 NO. OF AN	30.80 IMALS EQUALS NTAMINATED EQUA MEAN RANGE MAX MIN MEAN	5.13 COL. 3 (X 10E3) 11.35 11.07 16.20 5.13 SUMMARY WITH OF	COL. C (X 10E0) 5.83 5.00 8.00 3.00 UTLIERS REMOVE: COL. C (X 10E0) 5.57	1.56 * COL. D (X 10E-5) .59 1.28 1.76 .27

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
CON	POUNDY FDA 71-57		ORGANISM: SAL	MONELLA G-46
DOS	SE LEVEL: POSITIVE C	ONTROL - DMN - 1	100 MG/KG (ACUT	E)
ŢRE	ATMENT: IN VIVO, OR	AL. ACUTE	DATE STARTED:	
	Α	В	С	O
ΔΝΤ	MAL RAW CFU X	TOTAL CFU X	TOTAL NO.	MUTATION
	IBER 10E7/0.5ML	10E8/1.0ML	MUTANTS X 10£0/1.0ml	FRE (C/B)
		TOLOYIONE	INCONT * DIAT	X 10E-8
1		15.20	384.00	25.2
Ź	31.60	5.27	214.00	40.63
3	51.20	8.59	123.00	14,41 *
. 4	65.00	10.63	314.00	28.9 3
5 6	62.70	10.45	408.00	39.04
7		10.40 12.30	342.00 322.00	32,88
		6 4 W		26.18
NO.	OF ANIMALS EQUALS	7		
	OF CONTAMINATED EGG AL CFU OUT OF RANGE			
ïoi	AL CID OUT OF RANGE	EQUALS 1		
		COL. 3	COL. C	COL. D
		(X 10E6)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-3)
•	MEAN	10.43	301.00	29,53
	RANGE	9.93	285.00	26,22
	MAX	15.20	408.00	40.63
	MIN	^5• 2 7	123.00	14.41
				· · · · · ·
		SUMMARY WITH O	HT: TEDE BEHOVE:	
	en e	DOMESTIC PRINTED	OTHERS REMOVE	i
		COL. 3	COL. C	COL. D
		(X 10Eb)	(X 10E0)	(X 102-8)
	* MEAN	10.74	330.67	32.16
	RANGE	9.93	194.00	15.37
	MAX	15.20	408.00	40.63
0 P	MIN	5.27	214.00	25.26
				-

STOP

COMPOUND: FDA 71-57

ORGANISM: SALMONELLA G-46

GOSE LEVEL: LOW - 1.45 MG/36

TREATMENT: IN VIVO, ORAL, ACUTE DATE STARTED: DANUARY 3, 1973

	, p.i	8	Ç	D
ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X 10E7/0, ML	TOTAL CFU X 10E8/1.0ML	TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X 10EO/1.0ML	UTATAON Fac (Cab) a 105-8
1	69. 53	11.58	6.09	.52
2	34.81	14.13	9.00	.64
3	74,5	12.42	15.00	1.29
4	93. 3;	15.63	13.00	. 원3
5	45.70	7.62	23.00	3,02
6	61.00	10.17	13.00	1.28
7	%O.OO	6.67	12.00	1.80
8	32,1	5.35	2.00	.37

NO. OF ANIMALS ROUGLS
NO. OF CONTAMINATED EQUALS OF R

	COL. 4	COL. C	COL. D
	(X 1063)	(X 10E0)	(A 10E-0)
REAN	1 45	11.75	1,22
G. G.√	10.25	21.00	2.65
ed V	15,63	23.00	3.02
- IN	5.35	2.00	.37

* SUMMARY WITH OUT IERS REMOVED

	COL. 2	COL. C	COL. D
	(X 10E3)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)
e £ nN	1ง.ฮ5	10.14	•96
å,4 ≤ G €	10.2	14.00	1.43
AAA	15.63	16.00	1.80
AIN .	5.35	2.00	.37

29

COMPOUND: FOA 71-87 ORGANISM: SALMONELLA G-46

DOSE LEVEL: INTERMEDIATE - 14.50 MG/KG

TREATMENT: IN VIVO, ORAL, ACUTE DATE STARTED: AMUARY 3, 1973

	ā.	8	C: TOTAL NO.	D MUTATION
ANIMAL NUMBER	HAW CFU X 10E7/0. ML	TOTAL CFU X 1058/1.0ML	MUTANTS X 10E0/1.0ML	F E C/B)
1	61,80	10.25	9.00	. 36
2	73,5	12.25	6.00	. 4 €
3	72 . 30	12.05	6.00	, 5 ()
4	48 ,5 0	8.08	12.00	1.45
5 *	47.40	7.90	15.00	2,03
6	79,4	13,23	13.00	93
7	50.70	10.12	5.00	• 4 9

NO. OF ANIMALS ROUALS

NO. OF CONTEMINATED EQUALS

TOTAL OFU OUT OF RANGE EQUALS

2

	COL. 9	COL. C	COL. D
	(X 10£8)	(X 10E0)	(X 10€-5)
£ .5	N 1: ,55	9.57	, 9 8
P	GE 5,33	11.00	1,54
The state of the s	13.23	10.00	2.03
NIN	7.90	5.00	• ⊹.9
IN AUTHORISM			

NO OUT "FRE

STOP

30

COMPOUND: FDA 71-67 ORGANISM: SALMONELLA G-46

DOSE LEVEL: L05 - 105,00 MG/KG

TREATMENT: IN VIVO, ORAL, ACUTE DATE STARTED: ANUARY 3, 1973

	ģ.	8	C	D
ANIMAL NUMBER	SAS CFU X 10E7/0.3ML	TOTAL CFU X	TOTAL NO. MUTENTS X 10EO/1.0ML	MUTAT:ON F≈E (C/B) 4 102-8
1	⊕1.00	16.17	19.00	1.87
2	63,10	10.52	16.00	1,52
3	58 .9 7	9.62	2.00	.20
4	40.20	6 .7 0	7.00	1.04
5	65,20	10.87	6.00	.55
6	46.94	7,82	13.00	1.66
7 .	32.40	5.40	18.00	3,33
8	49.00	8.17	15.00	1.84
9	84,70	14.12	2.00	, 1 4

NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS
TOTAL CFU OUT OF RANGE EQUALS

	COL.	COL. C	COL.
	(X 10E8)	(X 10E0)	(x 102−3)
CERN	9,29	129	1,35
RAL-GE	8.72	17.00	3.19
人名英	14.12	19.00	3,33
w.IN	5.40	2.00	•14

* SUMMERY WITH OUT IERS REMOVED

	COL.	COL. C	COL. D
	(X 1028)	(X 10EU)	(X 10E~8)
EAN	9.77	10.00	1.10
84-35	7.42	17.00	1.73
, AX	14.12	19.00	1.87
RIN	6.7 0	2.00	.14

STOP

HOST MEDIATED ASSAY REPORT SHEET

COMPOUND: FDA 71-57 ORGANISM: SALMONELLA G-46

DOSE LEVEL: NEGATIVE CONTROL - SALIME (SUBACUTE)

TREATMENT: IN VIVO, ORAL, ACUTE DATE STARTED: JANUARY 19, 1973

ANIMAL NUMBER	A RAW CFU X 10E7/0.6ML	B TOTAL CFU X 10E8/1.0ML	C TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X 10E0/1.0ML	D MUTATION FRE (C/B) X 10E-8	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	111.60 90.70 98.30 77.40 31.80 108.00 128.10 121.90	18.60 15.12 16.38 12.90 5.30 18.00 21.35 20.32	13.00 5.00 8.00 6.00 7.00 8.00 9.00	.70 .33 .49 .47 1.32 .44 .42	×

NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS 8
NO. OF CONTAMINATED EQUALS 2

	COL. B	COL. C	COL. D
	(X 10E8)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)
MEAN	16.00	8.50	.60
RANGE	16.05	8.00	•99
MAX	21.35	13.00	1.32
MIN	5.30	5.00	•33

* SUMMARY WITH OUTLIERS REMOVED

	COL. B	COL. C	COL. D
	(X 10E8)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)
MEAN	1 7. 52	8.71	.49
RANGE .	8.45	8.00	• 37
MAX	21.35	13. 00	.70
MIN	12.90	5. 00	•33

STOP RU'S:.7

COMPOUND FDA 71-57

URGANISM: SALMONELLA G-46

DOSE LEVEL: POSITIVE CONTROL - DMN - 100 MG/KG (SUB&CUTE)

TREATMENT: IN VIVO, ORAL, ACUTE

DATE STARTED: JANUAR: 19, 1973

	A	8	C Total NO.	D MUTATION
ANIMAL	RAW CFU X	TOTAL CFU X	MUTANTS X	FRE (C/B)
NUMBER	10E7/0.GML	10E8/1.0ML	10E0/1.0ML	x 10E-S
1	34.10	5.68	64.00	11.26
خَ	44.70	7.45	113.00	15.17
-3	31.30	5.22	€0.00	15,34
4	59.60	9.93	102.00	10.27
5	48.40	8.07	77.00	9.55
6	51.70	8.62	94.00	10.91
7	82.10	13.68	119.00	18.70°
8	46.40	7.73	122.00	15.78
		* 1		· ·

NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS: 8
NO. OF CONTAMINATED EQUALS 1
TOTAL CFU OUT OF RANGE EQUALS 1

	COL. 5	COL. C	COL. D
	(X 10분용)	(X 10E0)	$(X^{-}10E-8)$
MEAN	8•3∪	96.38	12.12
RANGE	8.67	58.00	7.08
MAX	13,68	122.00	15.78
MIN	5.22	64.00	8.70

NO OUTLIERS

STOP

ORGANISM: SALMONELLA G-46

TREATMENT	: IN VIVO, ORAL	. SUBACUTE	DATE STARTED:	ANUARY 19.	197
	in the state of th	В	C Total NO.	D MOTATION	
ANIMAL	RAW CFU X	TOTAL CFU X	MUTANTS X	FRE (C/B)	
NUMBER	10E7/0.5ML	10E8/1.0ML	10E0/1.0ML	X 10E-6	
. 1	32.80	5.47	14.00	2,56	\$1
ž	49.00	8.17	2.00	.24	
3	88.90	14.82	17.00	1.15	
.4	42.10	7.02	7.00	1.00	
5	34.70	5.78	9.00	1.56	
6	39.40	6.57	11.00	1.68	
. •			÷	شع منع	

9.10

NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS NO. OF CONTAMINATED EQUALS

54.60

COMPOUND FDA 71-57

	COL.	COL. C	COL. D
	(X 10E8)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-6)
MEAN	8.13	9 . 29	1.25
RANGE	9.35	15.00	2.32
MAX	14.82	17.00	2.56
MIN	5.47	2. 00	.24

5.00

•55

* SUMMARY WITH OUTLIERS REMOVED

1.4	· COL. ·	COL. C	COL. D
	(X 10E&)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)
MEAN	8.58	8 •50	1.03
RANGE	9.03	15.00	1.43
XAM	14.52	17.00	1.68
MIN	5.78	2.00	.24

STOP

DOSE LEVE	L: INTERMEDIATI	E - 14.50 MG/K)		
TREATMENT	: IN VIVO, ORA	L. SUBACUTE	DATE STARTED:	JANUARY 19,	1973
	Á	В	C TOTAL NO.	D MUTATION	
ANIMAL Number	RAW CFU X 10E7/0.6ML	TOTAL CFU X	MUTANTS X 10E0/1.0ML	FRE (C/B) X 102-8	
1	72.90	12.15	7.00	•58	
2 3	69.40 51.70	11.57 8.62	7.00 15.00	.61 1.74	Ϋ́
4	64.10	10.68	7.00	.66	
5	39.40	6.57	5.00	.76	
6 7	61.90 67.4⊖	10.32 11.23	6.00 6.00	• 38 = 3	
8	61.00	10.17	11.00	•53 1•08	
9	75.10	12.52	15.00	1.20	
	•		13100	1,20	
	IMALS EQUALS NTAMINATED EQU	9		1,00	
	IMALS EQUALS	ALS 1	COL. C	COL. D	
	IMALS EQUALS NTAMINATED EQU	9 ALS 1 COL. = (X 10E8)	COL. C (X 10E0)	COL. D (X 10E-8)	
	IMALS EQUALS NTAMINATED EQU MEAN	9 ALS 1 COL. = (X 10E8) 10.42	COL. C (X 10E0) 8.78	COL. D (X 10E-8)	
	IMALS EQUALS NTAMINATED EQU MEAN RANGE MAX	9 ALS 1 COL. = (X 10E3) 10.42 5.95 12.52	COL. C (X 10E0) 8.78 10.00 15.00	COL. D (X 10E=8) .86 1.21 1.74	
	IMALS EQUALS NTAMINATED EQU MEAN RANGE	9 ALS 1 COL. = (X 10E3) 10.42 5.95	COL. C (X 10E0) 8.78 10.00	COL. D (X 10E-8) .86 1.21	
	IMALS EQUALS NTAMINATED EQU MEAN RANGE MAX MIN	COL. = (X 10E3) 10.42 5.95 12.52 6.57	COL. C (X 10E0) 8.78 10.00 15.00	COL. D (X 106-8) .86 1.21 1.74 .53	
NO. OF CO	IMALS EQUALS NTAMINATED EQU MEAN RANGE MAX MIN	\$ ALS 1 COL. = (X 10E8) 10.42 5.95 12.52 6.57 SUMMARY WITH (COL. 8	COL. C (X 10E0) 8.78 10.00 15.00 5.00 OUT IERS REMOVES	COL. D (X 10E-8) .86 1.21 1.74 .53	
NO. OF CO	IMALS EQUALS NTAMINATED EQU MEAN RANGE MAX MIN	QUL. = (X 10E3) 10.42 5.95 12.52 6.57 COL. = (X 10E8)	COL. C (X 10E0) 8.78 10.00 15.00 5.00 OUTLIERS REMOVE: COL. C (X 10E0)	COL. D (X 10E-8) .86 1.21 1.74 .53	
NO. OF CO	IMALS EQUALS NTAMINATED EQU MEAN RANGE MAX MIN	COL. (X 10E8) 10.42 5.55 12.52 6.57 SUMMARY WITH (COL. 8 (X 10E8) 10.65	COL. C (X 10E0) 8.78 10.00 15.00 5.00 OUT IERS REMOVE COL. C (X 10E0) 8.00	COL. D (X 10E-8) .86 1.21 1.74 .53 COL. D (X 10E-8) .75	
NO. OF CO	IMALS EQUALS NTAMINATED EQU MEAN RANGE MAX MIN	QUL. = (X 10E3) 10.42 5.95 12.52 6.57 COL. = (X 10E8)	COL. C (X 10E0) 8.78 10.00 15.00 5.00 OUTLIERS REMOVE: COL. C (X 10E0)	COL. D (X 10E-8) .86 1.21 1.74 .53	

COMPOUNDY FDA 71-57		ORGANISM: SALMONELLA G-46		
DOSE LEVE	L: LD5 - 145,00	D MG/KG		
TREATMENT	: IN VIVO, ORAL	_, SUBACUTE	DATE STARTED:	GANUARY 19, 1973
	A	В	C	٥
			TOTAL NO.	MUTATION
ANIMAL		TOTAL CFU X	MUTANTS X	FRE (C/B)
NUMBER	10E7/0.6ML	10E8/1.0ML	10E0/1.0ML	X 10E-8
1	89.40	14.90	6.00	• 40
	45.60	7.60	7.00	•92
2 3	66.20	11.03	6.00	•54
4	37.00	6.17	5.00	.81
5	34.70	5.78	7.00	1.21
6	49.20	8.20	3.00	•37
7	52.9 0	8.82	4.00	. 45
8	37.49	6.23	1.00	•16
NO. OF CO	IMALS EQUALS NTAMINATED EQU OUT OF RANGE			
		ÇUL. 3	COL. C	COL. D
		(Ř 1068)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-5)
1	MEAN	8.59	4.88	.61
	RANGE	9.12	6.00	1.05
	MAX	14.90	7.00	1.21
NO OUT	MIN	5.78	1.00	•16
NO OUTLIE	K D		•	

STOP

	COMPOUND	FDA 71-57		ORGANISM: SAC	CHAROMYCES D-3	
()	DOSE LEVE	EL: NEGATIVE CO	NTROL - SALINE	• •		
	TREATMEN	I: IN VIVO, ORAI	L. ACUTE	DATE STARTED:	JANUARY 8, 197	3
	ANIMAL NUMBER	A RAW CFU X 10E5/1.0ML	B TOTAL CFU SCREENED X 10E5/1.0ML	C TOTÁL RECŌMBINANTS 71.0ML	D RECOMB/CFU SCREENED X 105-5	
	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	974.00 1917.00 982.00 914.00 987.00 626.00 403.00	.97 1.92 .98 .91 .99 .63 .40 1.05	6.00 4.00 3.00 5.00 6.00 2.00	6.16 2.09 3.05 5.47 6.08 3.19 0.	
	TOTAL		7.86	31.00		
	NO. OF AN	NIMALS EQUALS REENED OUT OF RA	8 ANGE EQUALS	2		
	MEAN C/ME	AN B = 3	0,95 COL. 3	COL. C	COL - D	
STOP	NO OUTLIE	MEAN RANGE MAX MIN	(X 10E5) •98 1•51 1•52 •40	(X 10E0) 3.88 6.00 6.00	COL. D (X 10E-5) 3.85 6.16 6.16	

ORGANISM: SACCHAROMYCES D-3

COMPOUND FOA 71-57.

STOP

L: NEGATIVE CO	ONTROL - SALINE		
: IN VIVO, ORA	AL. ACUTE	DATE STARTED:	JANUARY 8, 1973
Α	8	C	D
RAW CFU X			RECOMB/CFU SCREENED X
10E5/1.0ML	10E5/1.0ML	/1.0ML	106-5
974.00	•97	6.00	6.16
1917.00	1.92	4.00	2.09
^982. 00	•98	3.00	3.05
914.00	.91	5.00	5.47
	•99	6.00	6.08
	•63	2.00	3.19
	• 40	0.	Ŏ.
1054.00	1.05	5.00	4.74
	7.85	31.00	
IMALS EQUALS EENED OUT OF R	SANGE EQUALS	2	•
AN B =	3, 95		
MEAN RANGE . MAX MIN	COL. 3 (X 10E5) .98 1.51 1.92	COL. C (X 10E0) 3.88 6.00 6.00	COL. D (X 10E-5) 3.85 6.16 6.16
	A RAW CFU X 10E5/1.0ML 974.00 1917.00 982.00 914.00 987.00 626.00 403.00 1054.00 MALS EQUALS EENED OUT OF F	A B TOTAL CFU RAW CFU X SCREENED X 10E5/1.0ML 10E5/1.0ML 974.00 97 1.92 982.00 98 914.00 91 99 626.00 636 403.00 1054.00 1.05 7.86 MALS EQUALS AN B = 3.95 COL. 8 (X 10E5) MEAN RANGE MAX NAX NAX NIN	A B TOTAL CFU TOTAL RAW CFU X SCRÉENED X RECOMBINANTS 10E5/1.0ML 10E5/1.0ML /1.0ML 974.00

STOP

COMPOUNDY FDA 71-57		ORGANISM: SAC	CHAROMYCES D-3
DOSE LEVEL: POSITIVE	CONTROL - EMS	350 MG/KG	
TREATMENT: IN VIVO.	RAL. ACUTE	DATE STARTED:	JANUARY 8, 1973
ANIMAL RAW CFU) NUMBER 10E5/1.0ML		C TOTAL RECOMBINANTS 71.0ML	D RECOMB/CFU SCREENED X 10E-5
1 1807.00 2 942.00 3 1054.00 4 574.00 5 957.00 6 1050.00 7 365.00 8 1378.00	1.81 .94 1.05 .57 .96 1.05 .36 1.38	22.00 30.00 47.00 19.00 28.00 37.00 14.00 50.00	12.17 * 31.85 44.59 33.10 29.26 35.24 38.36 36.28
TOTAL	8.13	247.00	
NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS TOTAL SCREENED OUT OF MEAN C/MEAN B =		ક	
MEAN RANGE MAX MIN	COL. 6 (X 10E5) 1.02 1.44 1.61	COL. C (X 10E0) 30.88 36.00 50.00 14.00	COL. D (X 10E-5) 32.61 32.42 44.59 12.17
•	* SUMMARY WITH	H OUTLIERS REMOVE	
MEAN C/MEAN B =	35.60		
MEAN RANGE MAX MIN	COL. 8 (X 10E5 .90 1.01 1.38 .36	COL. C (X 10E0) 32.14 36.00 50.00 14.00	COL. D (X 10E-5) 35.53 15.33 44.59 29.26

	C	OMPOUND! FDA	71-57		ORGANISM: SACO	CHAROMYCES D-3
	D	OSE LEVEL: L	OW - 1.45 MG	∕K G		
	Ţ(REAŢMENŢ: IN	VIVO, ORAL,	ACUTE	DATE STARTED:	JANUARY 8, 1973.
			A	B	C	D
				TOTAL CFU SCREENED X 1065/1.0ML	TOTAL RECOMBINANTS /1.0ML	RECOMB/CFU SCREENED X 10E-5
L.)		1 2	914.00 308.00	•91 •31	10.00	10.94
		3	677.00 874.00	.68 .87	7.00 2.00	12.99 10.34 2.29
	*** **********************************	5 6 7	742.00 841.00 1227.00	•74 •84	4.00 7.00	5.39 8.32
_			1520.00	1.23 1.52	9.00 14.00	7.33 9.21
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	DTAL		7.10	57.00	
	NO TO	O. OF ANIMAL DTAL SCREENE	S EQUALS D OUT OF RANG		2	
	. МЕ	EAN C/MEAN B	8.(Ş		
1000		en e	MEAN RANGE MAX	COL. B (X 10E5) .89 1.21 1.52	COL. C (X 10EÖ) 7.13 12.00 14.00	COL. D (X 10E-5) 8.35 10.70 12.99
	STOP	OUTLIERS	MIN	.31	2.00	2.29

ORGANISM: SACCHAROMYCES D-3 COMPOUNDY FDA 71-57 DOSE LEVEL: INTERMEDIATE - 14.50 MG/KG DATE STARTED: JANUARY 8, 1973 TREATMENT: IN VIVO, ORAL, ACUTE C D В TOTAL CFU TOTAL RECOMB/CFU SCREENED X RAW CFU X SCREENED X RECOMBINANTS ANIMAL 10E5/1.0ML 1025/1.0ML /1.0ML 10E-5 NUMBER .98 6.00 6.09 985.00 1 6.74 6.00 890,00 2 .89 592.00 •59 5.00 8.45 3 7.00 7.31 4 958.00 .96 5 .95 9.00 9.47 950.00 9.85 .91 9.00 914.00 11.00 8.65 1271.00 1.27 TOTAL 6.56 53.00 NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS TOTAL SCREENED OUT OF RANGE EQUALS 8,68 MEAN C/MEAN B = COL. C COL. D COL. B (X 10E5) (X 10E0) (x 10E-5) .94 7.57 8.8 MEAN 3.76 6.00 .68 RANGE 9.85 1.27 11.00 MAX 5.00 MIN •39 6.09 NO OUTLIERS ILLG I-FARMAT INPUT ING INPUT\FIELD IS .<UA> LAST ITEM\AT 100145.

CALLER

LINE

165.

ADDRESS

ADDRESS

001042

STOP

F10021

F10022

WALKBACK

PROSRAM.

REGUENCE

ENTRY

ORGANISM: SACCHAROSYCES DW3 CO POUND: FDA 71-57 DOSE LEVEL: LD5 - 145 60 MG/AG DATE STARTED: AMUARY 8, 1973 TREATMENT: IN VIVO, ORAL, ACUTE В C 0 TOTAL CFU TOTAL RECOMB CFU ANIMAL RAW CFU X SCREENED X REC BINANTS SCREENED X NUMBER 10E5/1.CML 1025/1,0mL /1.0ML 105~5 3.9⊱ 2012,00 2,01 8.00 1271.00 1.27 12.00 9.44 3 502,00 **,**50 9.00 17,93 495.00 4 .50 15.00 30,12 · 5 545,00 .54 4.00 7.34 393.00 •39 8.00 20.36 408.00 .41 7.00 17,16 TOTAL 5,63 63.00 NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS TOTAL SCREENED OUT OF RANGE EQUALS MEAN CAMEAN B = 11 1: COL. COL. C COL. D (X 10E0) (A 10ES) (X 19E-5)`**,** 20 view N 9.00 10.19 RADBE 1.62 11.00 26.14 2.01 15.00 30.12 3.94 N •39 4.09 * SUMMARY WITH OUT IERS REMOVED MEAN CIMEAN B = . . . 5 COL. -COL. C COL. D (X 1025) (X 10E0) (X 10E-5)8.00 MERN 12.70 • 85 RANGE 1.52 8.00 15.38

2.01

.39

S AX

MIN

STOP

20.30

3.98

12.00

4.00

CO POUNDS FDA 71-57 ORGANISM: SACCHAROMYCES D-3 DOSE LEVEL: NEGATIVE CONTROL. - SALINE SUBACUTES) TREATMENT: IN VIVO, ORAL, ACUTE DATE STARTED: APRIL 23. (9)3 C TOTAL CFU TOTAL . RECOMB - CFU RAW CFU X ANIMAL SCREENED X RECO-BINANTS SCREENED X NUMBER 1025/1,024 10-5/1.0ML /1.0ML 198-5 1 724,00 .72 2.00 2.7 978.00 2 . 98 3.00 3.07 3 544.00 .54 2.00 3.58 4 483.00 .48 1.00 2.07 5 384,00 .30 1.00 2.00 328,00 .33 Ú. () • 342,00 2.72 .34 1.00 TOTAL 3.78 10.00 NO. OF ANIMALS EGULLS NO. OF CONTAMEMATED EQUALS TOTAL SCREENED OUT OF RANGE EQUALS MEAN CIMEAN B = 2.6 COL. 3 COL. C COL. D (X 1025) (X 10E0) (X 108-3) $\sim \tilde{\epsilon} \sim N$.54 .43 2,44 . ÓĎ G 3.00 3.58 14. .98 3.00 3.38 -: IN .33 0. # SUMMERY WITH OUT IERS REMOVED MEAN CIMEAN B = 2. 9 COL. 5 COL. C ·COL. D (X 1025) (X. 10£0) (X 10E-5) $v\subseteq \{v\}$ • ទីទី 1.67 2.85 RANGE · 04 2.00 1.61

.93

.34

3.00

1.00

5 AK

MIN

STOP

3.58

2.07

		HOST M	EDIATED ASSAY R	EPORT SHEET	
	COMPOUND: F	DA 71-57		ORGANISM: SAC	CHAROMYCES D-3
_	DOSE LEVEL:	POSITIVE C	ONTROL - EMS-35	0 MG/KG I.M. (S	UBACUTE)
			AL, ACUTE		APRIL 23, 1973
		Α	B Total cfu	C	D D
	ANIMAL	RAW CFU X	SCREENED X	TOTAL RECOMBINANTS	RECOMB/CFU SCREENED X
	NUMBER	10E5/1.0ML	10E5/1.0ML	/1.0ML	10E-5
	<u>i</u>	664.00	.66	32.00	48.19
	2 3	972.00 384.00	•97 •38	41.0 0 38. 00	42.18 98.96 ×
_	2 3 4 5 6	745.00	.74	37.00	49.66
	5 6	541.00 872.00	.54 .87	32.00 50.00	59.15 57.34
and the second	7	942.00	.94	47.00	49.89
T Palabasa de la	TOTAL		5.12	277.00	·
,	NO. OF ANIM NO. OF CONT TOTAL SCREE	AMINATED EQ	7 UALS 2 RANGE EQUALS	1	
	MEAN C/MEAN	B =	54.10		
bark			COL. B	COL. C	COL. D
CHARLES		ME AN	(X 10E5)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-5)
	• • •	MEAN RANGE	• 7 3	39.57 18. 00	57.91 56.78
		MAX	•97	5 0.00	98. 96
		MIN	.38	32.00	42.18
· de la constante de la consta	·	:	SUMMARY WITH	OUTLIERS REMOVE	D
\$ \$	MEAN C/MEAN	B =	50.46		
			COL	601 6	601 5
1 . 1			COL. B (X 10E5)	COL. C (X 10E0)	COL. D (X 10E-5)
		MEAN	•79	39.83	51.07
		RANGE MAX	•43 •97	18.00 50.00	·16.97 59.15
Coron		MIN	.54	32.00	42.18
STOP SRU'S:.8					
· I					

URGANISM: SACCHAROMYCES D-3 CO POUNDS FUS 71-57 DOSE LEMEL: LOW - 1,45 MG/ G DATE STARTED: APRIL 23, 1973 TREATMENT: IN VIVO, ORAL, SUBACUTE C D RECOMB CFU TOTAL CFU TOTAL RECORBINANTS SCREENED X SCREENED X BAR CFU X ANTMAL 100-5 1025/1.0ML /1.0ML 10E5/1,0ML NUMBER 2.00 5, -.36 364.00 1 16,99 7.00 .41 2 412,00 7.79 3.00 •38 385.00 3 11.31 .44 5.00 4 442.00 .51 15,75 8.00 5 508.00 23,53 .34 8.00 340.00 12,47 9.00 .72 722.00 3.17 42:00 TOTAL NO, OF ANIMALS EQUALS TOTAL SCREENED OUT OF MANGE EQUALS 13 24 SEAN CIMEAN B = COL. D COL. COL. C (X 10E-5) (X 1050) (X 1055) 13,33 6.09 . 45 $A \in \mathbb{N}$.38 18.03 7.00 14.4 GE 23,53 9.00 4. .72 5.43 IN .34 2.00 * SUMMARY WITH OUT IERS REMOVED 12,00 MEAN CIMEAN B = COL. C COL. D COL. . (X 10E0) (X 10E-5) (X 1085) 5.67 11.63 75 AM .47 11.50 7.00 $\Re \times G E$.35 10.99 .72 9.00 . 30 5.49 2.00 .36 . IN

STOP

	FDA 71-57			CCHAROMYCES D-3
DOSE LEVE	L: INTERMEDI.T	E - 14.50 MG/	Ġ	
TREATMENT	: IN VIVO, ORA	L. SUBACUTE	DATE STARTED	APRIL 23, 1973
ANIMAL Nompee	PAW CF9 X 10E5/1.09L	B TOTAL CFU SCREENED X 1085/1.0ML	C TOTAL RECOMBINANTS /1.0ML	D RECOMBICFU SCREENED X 10565
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	854.00 354.00 755.00 925.00 794.00 557.00 985.00 328.00 774.00	.86 .35 .76 .93 .79 .55 .99 .33 .77	6.00 4.00 11.00 12.00 10.00 7.00 8.00 9.00 9.00	6,9% 11,30 14,55 12,93 12,59 12,80 8,11 27,44 11,63 6,11
TOTAL		7 • ~4	84.00	
NO. OF AN	H ALS EQUALS:	1		
MEAN C/ME		;9 9		
· .	• (**)	COL. (x 1025) .76 .98 1.31	COL. C (X 10E0) 8.4: 8.00 12.60 4.00	COL. D (X 10E-5) 12.44 21.33 27.44 6.11
	i	SUMMERY WITH	OUT IERS REMOVE	io Io
WEAN CZME	AN B = 1	2 ² -	•	
	+ £ 3 N 9 ch 6 s + 4 + I N	COL. (X 1025) .61 .96 1.31 .35	COL. C (X 10E0) 8.33 8.00 12.00 4.00	COL. D (* 105-5) 1 .77 5.44 14.55 6.11

STOP

7₃, ,

OHGANISM: SACCHAROVECES D-3 CO POUND: FDA 71-57 DOSE LEVEL: LO5 - 145 00 MG/ 6 TREATMENT: IN VIVO, UHAL, SUBACUTE DATE STARTED: APRIL 23, 1973 C Ð В PECOMB CFU TOTAL TOTAL CFU RECO-BINENTS SCREENED X RAW CFU X ROKEENED X ANIMAL 10-5 1059/1.0ML /1.0ML NUMBE" 10E5/1.0%L 10.47 .38 4.00 382,00 5.00 12.59 397.00 2 ·40 6,25 .32 2.00 320,00 3 .15 3.00 4 350.00 • 33 17,72 5 508,00 .51 9.00 15.77 5.00 .32 317.00 12,25 5.00 408.00 .41 2,66 33.00 TOTAL NO. OF ANIMALS EGUALS TOTAL SCREENED OUT OF RANGE EQUALS MEAN CYMEAN B = 15 41 COL. D COL. C CUL. (X 108-5) (X 10E0) (X 10£5) 12, 3 .38 4.71 $\sim N$ 7.00 11.67 KA GF .19 17,72 . 1 9.00

.32

NO OUTLIERS

· IN

6.25

2.00

3. Toxicity Data - Test II

a. Acute - Mice

Compound FDA 71-57, Menthol, was prepared as a 37.5% (w/v) emulsion and administered as a single oral dose to five groups of six male mice (average body weight 36 grams) at dosages of 2000, 2500, 3200, 4000 and 5000 mg/kg.

Signs of toxicity or abnormal behavior were limited to depressed activity on day one. The observation period was eight days. Necropsies were performed on all animals that died and those killed at termination. No gross findings were observed.

The acute oral LD_{50} in male mice for compound FDA 71-57 is 4384 mg/kg. The confidence limits and LD_5 could not be calculated. The LD_{50} was calculated by the Reed-Munch method (Huldah Bancroft, Introduction to Biostatistics, Chapter 18, Hoeber-Harper, New York, New York, 1957).

b. Subacute - Mice

Compound FDA 71-57 was prepared as a 37.5% (w/v) suspension in 0.85% saline. The test substance was administered to five groups of six male mice (average body weight 35 grams), daily for five days at dosages of 2000, 2500, 3200, 4000 and 5000 mg/kg. Signs of toxicity and abnormal behavior included depression (day one), excitability, rapid respiration and unthrifty appearance (days two through eight). All animals that died and those killed at termination of the 14-day observation period were subjected to gross necropsy. No abnormal gross findings were observed. The 14-day subacute oral LD $_{50}$ in male mice for compound FDA 71-57 is 2652 mg/kg with 95% confidence limits of 1951 to 3218 mg/kg. The LD $_{5}$ is 1540 mg/kg. The statistical evaluation was made by the probit analysis of Finney..



c. TOXICITY DATA SHEETS

CONTRACT FDA 71-268

COMPOUND FDA 71-57

MENTHOL

TEST II



ACUTE TOXICITY DATA COMPOUND FDA 71-57 MICE

Solvent:

0.85% saline

Dosage Form:

Emulsion

Animals:

Male mice with an average body weight of 36 grams. All animals were observed for eight days.

Toxicity Data:

mg/kg	<pre># Dead/# Animals</pre>	Day of Death and Necropsy
2000	0/6	None
2500	0/6	None
3200	0/6	None
4000	1/6	Day 4 (1): No gross abnormalities.
5000	6/6	Day 2 (6): No gross abnormalities.

SUBACUTE TOXICITY DATA COMPOUND FDA 71-57 MICE

Solvent:

0.85% saline

Dosage Form:

Suspension

Animals:

Male mice with an average body weight of 35 grams. All animals were observed for 14 days.

Toxicity Data:

Dose mg/kg	<pre># Dead/# Animals</pre>	Day of Death and Necropsy
2000	2/6	Day 2 (2): No gross abnormalities.
2500	2/6	Day 1 (1) and Day 2 (1): No gross abnormalities.
3200	3/6	Day 2 (2) and Day 6 (1): No gross abnormalities.
4000	6/6	Day 2 (6): No gross abnormalities.
5000	6/6	Day 2 (6): No gross abnormalities.



4. Host-Mediated Assay - Test II

Compound FDA 71-57, Menthol, was tested acutely against all three indicator strains at new dose levels of 500 mg/kg and 5000 mg/kg. A single subacute dose level of 1150 mg/kg was tested against all three indicator strains.

Results from all tests were negative.

David Brusick

a. HOST-MEDIATED ASSAY SUMMARY SHEETS

CONTRACT FDA 71-268

COMPOUND FDA 71-57

MENTHOL

TEST II



HOST MEDIATED ASSAY

SUMMARY SHEET

COMPOUND: FDA	71-57			•		
	TA153	SALMOI 30	NELLA G-46	5	SACCHAROMY	CES D-3
	MMF (X 10E-8)	MFT/MFC	MMF (X 10E-8)	MFT/MFC	MRF (X 10E-5)	MRT/MRC
ACUTE NC PC AL AI AH	8.04 67.39 0. 6.27 4.16	8.38 0. .78 .52	.94 187.14 0. .88 1.51	199.09 0. .94 1.61	13.77 102.08 0. 14.28 21.61	7.41 0. 1.04 1.57
SUBACUTE NC SL SI	1.00 0. 0.	0. 0. 0.	1.00 0. 0. 0.	0. 0. 0.	1.00	0.
IN VITRO	TA1530	G-46	% CONC	D-3 % SURVIVAL	R X 10E	5
NC PC					•	*

STOP SRU'S:.4

HOST MEDIATED ASSAY

SUMMARY SHEET

COMPOUND: FDA	A 71-57	SALMOI	NELLA		SACCHAROMY	CES D-3
	TA153		G-46)		
	MMF (X 10E-8)	MFT/MFC	MMF (X 10E-8)	MFT/MFC	MRF (X 10E-5)	MRT/MRC
ACUTE NC PC AL AI AH	4.40 119.12 0. 0.	27.07 0. 0. 0.	1.55 239.43 0. 0.	154.47 0. 0. 0.	16.05 83.24 0. 0.	5.19 0. 0.
SUBACUTE NC SL SI	4.40 0. 0. 4.32	0. 0. .98	1.55 0. 0. 2.16	0. 0. 1.39	16.05 0. 0. 16.62	0. 0. 1.04
IN VITRO	TA1530	G- 46	% CONC	D-3 % SURVIVAL	R X 10E	5

NC PC

STOP SRU'S:.4

GPS144 E XQT PROG NAME > 6 CHAR

b. HOST-MEDIATED ASSAY DATA SHEETS

CONTRACT FDA 71-268

COMPOUND FDA 71-57

MENTHOL

TEST II



HOST REPURTED ASSAY REPURT SHEET

COMPOUND:	FDA 71-57		ORGANISM: SALI	HONGLLA TA183
DOSE LEVE	L: NEGATIVE CO	TROL . SALINE		
TREATMENT	TMENT: IN VIVO: OMAL: SUBACUTE		DATE STARTED:	JULY 12, 1979
		в	C TOTAL MA	9
ANIMAL NUMEER	84% CFU X 1027/0, IME	TOTAL CFU X	TOTALL NO. MUTANTS X 1060/1.0ML	HUTAT ON Fâr (Cab) A lord
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	44.90 61.50 59.00 32.70 78.40 47.90 65.10 81.20	7.43 10.32 9.33 9.33 9.33 10.33 13.53	39.00 40.00 45.00 135.00 158.00 43.00 28.00 65.00	5.35 9.57 9.57 24.65 5.59 4.55 4.55 5.22
TOTAL CFU	IMALS REDALS OUT OF HANGE E	1 89 41,3		
	LPN	Coll. (8 10 20) 9.81 8.83 13.93	COL. C (A 10g0) 775 140.00 160.00 25.00	Col. 0 (X 102 4) 6 4 22 . 19 24 . 77 2 . 5 d
	₩	SUMM BY WITH O	UT IERS REMOVE	
•	CAN CANGO AN UNIN	COL. (A 10mA) (A 10mA) (10.44 6.05 13.53 7.48	COL. C (A 1020) 61.57 140.00 162.09	COL. D (A 102-8) 5.68 10.48 12.88

COMPOUND:	FDA 71-57		ORGANISM: SAL	MONELLA TAISS
DOSE LEVE	L: POSITIVE CO	TROL - DMM -	100 MG/86	
TREATMENT	: IN VIVO, GRAL	, ACUTE	DATE STARTED:	JULY 12, 1975
	A	В	C Tot4_ No•	D MUTAT ON
ANIMAL- NUMBER	#A# CFU X 1027/0. AL	TOTAL CFU X	MUTANTS X 1020/1.04L	#01%1 ON FRE (C/B) X 10278
1	79.10	13.18	1123.00	83.13
2 3 4	64.30 78.70	10.70 13.12	ີ554.00 1226.0)	51,69 93,47 ·
4	54.00	10.67	914.00	50°63
5	56.35	9.39	404.00	42005
6	67,50	11.25	270,00	24.00
7	43. 80	7.3°	350,00	4 . 54
8	71.80	11,97		ំង. រ៉ូម៉
3	45.20	7.53	698. 00	92,65
10 NO. OF AR	70.80 TIMALS EQUALS	11.80 11	9-8.00	€0 . 58
	•	. CCL.	COL. C	COL. D
		(Å 1,435)	(K 1020)	(8 10208)
	·监西(4)。	10,09	732,3	o7,39
	1946 9 5	5.88	ୁ ଅପ୍ତ•଼ ଓ ୍	69. 7
	J. A. A.	13.12	1220.0	93.47
NO GUTLIE	SIN .	7.30	270.00	2+.00

N

T. W. M. C.

HUST REDIFTED ASSET REPORT SHEET

COMPOUND: FDA 71-57 UNGANISM: SALMONELLA TAISS. DOSE LEVEL: INTERMEDIATE - 500 MOZAG DATE STARTED: JULY 12, 1974 TREATMENT: IN VIVO, ORAL, ACUTE TOTAL NO. MUTATION ANIMAL. BAH CEU X TOTAL CFU X MUTARITS A FIE C 5) NUMBER 1027/0.8ML 10E8/1.0HL 1020/1.0mm ₹ 10±36 49.10 0.15 5000 2 90.50 15.00 44,00 3 31.60 5,30 46,00 ဗီ•့ဗေၵီ 4 131,20 21.27 75.00 3,43 5 87.00 14.50 37,00 2,55 6 146.20 24.37 40 a 00 1,84 7 54,20 9.03 50.00 و د و ط 8 17.55 105,30 74.00 4.22 105,20 17.53 366.00 20,87 NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS TOTAL CFU OUT OF RANGE EQUALS COL. -COL. C Colle D (A 169a) (A 1020) (1) 5 -) 1 . A 4 - 6 70 01.33 . e c 7 ्_{र र}्जि **.** जि. च 19, 325,00 19,23 3 2 A 24,37 350.00 2 . 27 : EN 5.30. 37.00 1.04 * SUMMERY WITH OUT TERS REMOVED COL COL. C COL. D (X 1026) (X 10E0) (X lumi~s) 14,4 52.5 S. Early 4.45

19,67

24.37

5.30

38.00

75.00

HA GC

3.37

 $\not\in \mathcal{I}(N)$

STOP

7.04

8.08

COMPOUND:	FOA 71-87		OMEANISM: SALM	HONELLA TA153
DOSE LEVEL	L: HIGH ~ 5000	M67×6		
TREATMENT	: IN VIVO, OHAL	. ACUTE	SATE STARTED:	UL7 12: 1974
ANIMAL: NUMBER	RAW CFU X-	8 ÎOTAL CEU X 1086/1.08L	C TOTAL NO. UTAMIS X 1020/1.0ML	0 MUTAT(ON FRE (C/B) A 108-8
1 2 3 4 5 5 7 8 9	51.00 44.50 42.10 41.30 37.80 51.80 50.50 54.60	50 7.42 7.02 5.86 5.30 11.10 6.63 6.43 9.10	44.00 24.00 27.00 31.00 32.00 33.00 42.00 34.00 30.00	5.1.3 3.24 3.25 4.50 5.10 2.57 8.86 4.51 3.39
	IMALS EGGALS OUT OF RANGE E	(QUALS I		
NO OUTLIER	⇔āan Tvaēga Nav Nav Na IN RS	COL. (X 1080) 0.05 4.00 11.13 6.30	COL. C (X 1025) 33.44 20.00 44.00 24.00	Col. D (A 108 o) 4.16 2.20 3.10 2.97

.

E

	L: NEGATIVE CON	·· -		•
REATMENT	: IN VIVO, ORAL	• SUBACUTE	DATE STARTED:	BAY 24, 197
	i de la companya de l	В	C	ð
INIMAL IUMBER	RAW CFU X 1027/0.5ML	TOTAL CFU X	TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X 1020/1.0m.	MUTATION FRE (C/B) A 102-6
1	36.40	6.67	22.00	3,63
2	63.50	10,00	32.00	3,02
3	38.90 60.10	ି⊹.48 10.0∠	41.00	÷ , 32
4 . 5	60.10 59.7	10.02 19.93	35.00 29.00	3.4 21
ó	37.80	5 . 3 0	35.00	5.71
7	31.5	5,25	25.00	4.70
ક	45.70	7.02	44.00	5,78
9	34.50	5.80	25.00	4,31
j 0	43.90	7.32	30.00	4.10
10. OF AN	IMALS TQUALS	1		
		CUL	Cola C	Çom. D
	and the second	(x 1080)	(% 10E0)	(x 102~5)
	୍ଥ୍ୟ ା ମୟର ଓ ଞ୍ଚ	7 , 5 . 5 , 35	31.49 22.03	3,41
	14 A	10.00	44,00	5,32
	NIN	5.25	22.00	2. 1
O OUTLIE	RS	- Fe	e e e	* -

COMPOUND: FDA 71-57 ORGANISM: SALMONELLA TA1530 DOSE LEVEL: POSITIVE CONTROL - DMN - 100 MG/KG TREATMENT: IN VIVO, ORAL, ACUTE DATE STARTED: MAY 24, 1974 Α В C TOTAL NO. MUTATION ANIMAL RAW CFU X TOTAL CFU X MUTANTS X FRE (C/B) NUMBER 10E7/0.6ML 10E8/1.0ML 10E0/1.0ML X 10E-8 1 41.60 6.93 733.00 105.72 23456 35.20 5.87 888.00 151.36 39.10 6.52 830.00 127.36 40.80 6.80 861.00 126.62 32.40 5.40 657.00 121.66 37.40 6.23 818.00 131.23 **7**8 40.50 6.75 546.00 80.89 39.50 6.58 881.00 133.82 9 39.30 6.55 581.00 88.70 10 33.10 683.00 123.80 NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS 10

NO OUTLIERS	MEAN RANGE MAX MIN	(X 10E8) 6.32 1.53 6.93 5.40	(X 10E0) 747.80 342.00 888.00 546.00	COL. D (X 10E-8) 119.12 70.47 151.36 80.89
NO OUTLIERS			-	30.07

STOP SRU'S:.6

TREATMENT:	IN VIVO, ORAL	, SUBACUTE	DATE STARTED:	MAY 24, 197
 ANIMAL NUMBER	A RAW CFU X 10E7/0.6ML	B TOTAL CFU X 10E8/1.0ML	C TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X 10E0/1.0ML	D MUTATION FRE (C/B) X 10E-8
 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	38.10 40.50 55.70 45.30 39.20 49.30 35.70 54.70	6.35 6.75 9.28 7.55 6.53 8.22 5.95 9.12	36.00 24.00 31.00 39.00 31.00 22.00 34.00	5.67 3.56 3.34 5.17 4.74 2.68 5.71 3.73
-	MALS EQUALS OUT OF RANGE E	8 EQUALS 2		
	MEAN RANGE MAX MIN	COL. B (X 10E8) 7.47 3.33 9.28 5.95	COL. C (X 10E0) 31.38 17.00 39.00 22.00	COL. D (X 10E-8) 4.32 3.04 5.71 2.68

SRU'S:.5

	COMPOUND:	FDA 71-57		OHGANISM: SAL	MONELLA G-46
To produce the second s	DOSE LEVE	L: NEGATIVE CO	NTROL - SALINE		
Action of the second	TREATMENT	: IN VIVO, ORA	- ACUTE	DATE STARTED:	APRIL 17, 1974
	ANIMAL Number	A RAW CFU X 10E7/0.5ML	B TOTAL CFU X 10E8/1.0ML	C TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X 1000/1.0ML	D MUTATION FRE (C/B) X 106-8
	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	72.40 75.50 45.00 73.40 71.60 69.80 99.60 51.50 62.40	12.07 12.58 7.50 12.23 11.93 11.63 16.60 8.58 10.40	11.00 8.00 12.00 15.00 9.00 9.00 11.00 4.00 12.00	.91 .64 .1.60 .1.23 .75 .77 .66
	NO. OF AN	52.80	. Î8•80. 10	11.00	1.25
	en e	MEAN- RANGE MAX	COL. 5 (X 1088) 11.23 9.10 16.60	COL. C (X 10E0) 10.20 11.00 15.00	COL. D (X 10E-8) .94 1.13 1.60
STOP	NO OUTLIEF	MIN	7.50	4 • Ö O	• 47

	HOST MED	DIATED ASSAY RE	PORT SHEET	
COMPOUND:	FDA 71-57		ORGANISM: SAL	MONELLA G-46
DOSE LEVE	L: POSITIVE CON	ITROL - DMN - 1	.00 MG/KG	
TREATMENT	: IN VIVO, ORAL	. ACUTE	DATE STARTED:	APRIL 17, 197
	A	B .	C	D
ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X 10E7/0.5ML	TOTAL CFU X	TOTAL NO. Mutants X 10£0/1.0ml	MUTATION FRE (C/B) X 10E-8
1 2	33.70 -31.30	5.62 5.22	1095.00	194.95 210.86
3 4	41.40 39.00	6.90 6.50	1121.00 1010.00	162,46 155,38
5 6 7	76.40 35.90 36.60	12.73 5.98 6.10	^615.00 1405.00 1151.00	`48.30
8 9	40.20 53.00	6.70 8.83	1352.00 2742.00	201.79 310.41
NO. OF AN	35.90 IMALS EQUALS	5.98 10	980.00	163 .79
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•• •	COL. S	COL. C	COL. D
	MEAN RANGE	(X 10E8) 7.06 7.52	(X 10EÖ) 1257.10 2127.00	(X 10E-8) 187.14 262.11
	MAX HIN	12.73 5.22	2742.00 615.00	310.41 46.30
	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	SUMMARY WITH C	OUT IERS REMO E)
	•	COL. 6 (X 10E8)	COL. C (X 10E0)	COL. D (X 10E-8)
•	MEAN	6.13	1151.75	189.9

STOP

	COL. 3	COL. C	COL. D
	(X 10E8)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)
MEAN	6.13	1151.75	189. 9
RANGE	Î.68	^425.00	79.43
MAX	6.90	1405.00	234.81
MIN	5.22	980.00	155.38

COMPOUND: FDA 71-57			ORGANISM: SALMONELLA G-46			
DOSE LEVE	L: INTERMEDIATE	E - 500 MG/KG				
TREATMENT	TREATMENT: IN VIVO, ORAL, ACUTE			DATE STARTED: APRIL 17, 1974		
ANI MAL NUMBER		B TOTAL CFU X 10E8/1.0ML				
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	82.00 73.80 101.30 68.10 61.50 67.30 79.40 65.70 44.10	13.67 12.30 16.88 11.35 10.25 11.22 13.23 10.95 7.35	8.00 7.00 12.00 14.00 9.00 14.00 11.00 13.00 5.00	.59 .57 .71 1.23 .88 1.25 .83 1.19		
	IMALS EQUALS AD ANIMALS EQUA					
NO OUTLIE	MEAN RANGE MAX MIN	COL. B (X 10E8) 11.91 9.53 16.88 7.35	COL. C (X 10E0) 10.33 9.00 14.00 5.00	COL. D (X 10E-8) .88 .68 1.25 .57		

NO OUTLIERS STOP

SRU'S:.6

65

	COMPOUND #	COMPOUND: FDA 71-57		ORGANISM: SALMONELLA G-46	
	DOSE LEVEL	: HIGH - 5000	MG/KG		
The state of the s	TREATMENT	IN VIVO. ORAL	. ACUTE	DATE STARTED:	APRIL 17, 1974
		Α	В	C TOTAL NO.	D MUTATION
	ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X 10E7/0.6ML	TOTAL CFU X	MUTANTS X 10E0/1.0ML	FRE (C/B) X 10E-8
	1 2	49.80 65.10	8.30 10.85	13.00 16.00	1.57 1.47
	3 .4: 5	57.30 30.40 32.70	9.55 5.07 5.45	5.00 14.00 12.00	.52 2.76 2.20
	6 7	53.70 74.20	8.95 12.37	14.00	1.56
	_	MALS EQUALS	7 EQUALS 3		
		KEAN	COL. B (X 10£8) 8.65	COL. C (X 10E0) 11.43	COL. D (X 10E-8) 1.51
<u> </u>	NO OUTLIEF	RANGE MAX MIN RS	7.30 12.37 5.67	11.00 16.00 5.00	2.28 2.76 .49
STOP FMD01 WALKBAC PROGRAM	K SEQUENCE	INE ADDRESS	CALLER LIN	E ADDRESS	

HOST WEDISTED ASSAY REPORT SHEET

COMPOUND: FDA 71-67			OnGANISM: SALMONELLA 6-46		
DOSE LEVE	EL: NEGATINE CO	NTROL - S. LINE		ä	
TPESTMENT	T: IN VIVO, ONCE	,, SUBACUTE	DATE STARTED:	MAY 31, 1974	
		В	C	Ď	
			TOTAL NO.	UTATION	
ANTHAL		TOTAL, CFU X	MUTONTS X	F∈E (C/B)	
NUMPER	10元7/0。同是	10E8/1.0ML	1020/1.0ML	X 105-8	
1	65.00	10.63	10.00	, 92	
	48,60	8.19	14.00	1.75	
2 3	63.00	10.50	12.00	1.14	
4	∴5 . 9∴	5.9%	8.00	1,34	
5	52.4.	8.73	18.09	06.5	
6	35.1	5,85	15.00	2.56	
7 €	53.3 0	රි. විරි	14.00	1,58	
8	55. 30	10.88	1 8 , 0 0	1.65	
9	38.40	6.4 0	5.00		
10	40.70	5.75	11.00	1,52	
NO. OF AN	NIMALS FRUALS	1:			
		CUL	COL. C	COL. D	
		(A 1926)	(Å 10£0)	(x 10£−0)	
	s & AN	ક , 3 ુ	12.60	1.55	
	, Rai 6 8	5,03	12.00	1,64	
	- 13 X	10.58	1s.00	2.56	
	Ĭ.W	5.85	6.00	• 92	
NO OUTLIE	ERS				

ROST ENTATED ASSAY REPORT SHEET

CO POUND: FDA 71-57 U. GANISM: SALMONELLA G-46 DOSE LEVEL: POSITIVE CONTROL - DWN - 100 MG/KO DATE STARTED: TAY 31, 1974 TREATMENT: IN VIVO, OFAL, ACUTE 9 Ŋ TOTHE MO. MUTAT ON FAM CFU X TOTAL CFU X MUTANTS X ANTMAL FRE (C/8) 1028/1.0ML 10E0/1.0ML NUMBER 10-7/0, ML % 108~8 1 57.30 9,55 2499.00 261,67 2 59,40 9.90 317,17 3140.00 6,43 3 38,60 2500,00 388,59 45,49 116,17 7,57 879.00 5 7.12 241,68 42,70 1720.00 6 48.87 8,13 2674.00 328,76 7 62,30 10,30 2740.00 253.85 8 54.30 10.72 2349.00 219,19 9 64.30 10.78 1431.00 133,53 145.70 24.28 ... 3003.00 123,66 .. 1 0 NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS 1: COL. COL. D COL. C (A 10d-4) (A 19gle) (X 1060) 4 4 1 A 1 . + 2293.50 239,43 3 as 3 17,55 2261.0v 272,43 24.20 3140.00 388,59 $\cdot : M$ 110.17 6.43 379.00

> 300KESS 001024

4

STOP

NO CUTLIERS

68

HOST REDIRTED ASSAY REPORT SHEET

COMPOUND: FDA 71-57 ORGANISM: SALMONALLA G-46

DOSE LEVEL: LOS - 1150 MG/KG

TREATMENT: IN VIVO, ORAL, SUBACUTE DATE STARTED: MAY 31, 1974

	; 	3	С	Q
ANIMAL	RAS CEU X	TOTAL CFU X	TOTAL NO. Mutents x	MUTATION FRE (C/B)
NUMBER	10百7/0。例2	1055/1.5ML	1050/1.0ML	X 10E-8
1	84,40	14.07	13.00	. 92
2	12:40	21.40	9.00	5
3	39.50	6.58	19.00	2.39
4	45,10	7.52	9.00	1.20
5	175.4	29.23	10.00	.34
6	30.60	5.10	45.00	8.82
7	44.70	7.45	4.00	• 54

NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS ...
NO. OF DEAD ANIMALS EQUALS ...
TOTAL CFU OUT OF RANGE EQUALS

	COL. 2	COL. C	COL. D
	(X 10Es)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E+8)
* EIN	13.5	15.57	2,16
AANHd	24,13	41.06	5,48
- 6 A	29.23	45.00	∂ • 82
IN	5.10	4.00	• 34

* SUMMARY WITH OUT IERS REMOVED

	Upes :	COL. C	COL. D
	(X 10€%)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)
· El N	14.38	1.67	l . 35
MAT GE	22.65	15.0¢	2.54
· As A	29,23	19.00 .	2. 9
MIN	6•5a	4.00	•34
~	1 T +		•

STOP

HOST MEDIATED ASSAY REPORT SHEET

COMPOUND: FDA 71-57 ORGANISM: SACCHAROMYCES D-3

DOSE LEVEL: NEGATIVE CONTROL - SALINE

TREATMENT: IN VIVO, ORAL, ACUTE DATE STARTED: MAY 23, 1974

ANIMAL NUMBER	A RAW CFU X 10E5/1.0ML	B TOTAL CFU SCREENED X 10E5/1.0ML	C TOTAL RECOMBINANTS /1.0ML	D RECOMB/CFU SCREENED X 10E-5
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	1122.00 869.00 1303.00 2950.00 650.00 1105.00 4493.00	1.12 .87 1.30 2.95 .65 1.11 4.49	27.00 14.00 36.00 21.00 17.00 14.00 43.00	24.06 16.11 27.63 7.12 26.15 12.67 9.57
TOTAL		12.49	172.00	

NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS 7
TOTAL SCREENED OUT OF RANGE EQUALS 3

MEAN C/MEAN B = 13.77

			COL. B	COL. C	COL. D
			(X 10E5)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-5)
		MEAN	1.78	24.57	17.62
		RANGE	3.84	29.00	20.51
		MAX	4.49	43.00	27.63
	٠. ٠	MIN	•65	14.00	7.12
NIO	AUTUTEDO				

NO OUTLIERS

STOP SRU'S:.5

92110

HOST MEDIATED ASSAY REPORT SHEET

COMPOUND: FDA 71-57 ORGANISM: SACCHAROMYCES D-3

DOSE LEVEL: POSITIVE CONTROL - EMS - 350 MG/KG I.M.

TREATMENT: IN VIVO, ORAL, ACUTE DATE STARTED: MAY 23, 1974

ANI MAL NUMBER	A' RAW CFU X 10E5/1.0ML	B TOTAL CFU SCREENED X 10E5/1.0ML	C TOTAL RECOMBINANTS /1.0ML	D RECOMB/CFU SCREENED X 10E-5
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	1084.00 841.00 906.00 1020.00 1333.00 1462.00 1511.00 1016.00 2031.00 924.00	1.08 .84 .91 1.02 1.33 1.46 1.51 1.02 2.03 .92	198.00 89.00 94.00 91.00 63.00 160.00 42.00 98.00 216.00 185.00	182.66 105.83 103.75 89.22 47.26 109.44 27.80 96.46 107.34 200.22
TOTAL		12.13	1238.00	

NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS 10

MEAN C/MEAN B = 102.08

		COL. B (X 10E5)	COL. C (X 10E0)	COL. D (X 10E-5)
	MEAN	1.21	123.80	107.00
	RANGE	1.19	176.00	172.42
	MAX	2.03	218.00	200.22
•	MIN	.84	42.00	27.80
IO OUTLIEDE				• •

NO OUTLIERS

STOP SRU'S:.5

HOST EDIATED ASSAY REPORT SHEET

	COMPOUND:	FDA 71-57		ORGANISM:	SACCHAROAYCES D-
	DOSE LEVEL	: INTERMEDI	TE - 500 MG/K	3	
	TREATMENT;	IN VIVO. O	RAL . ACUTE	DATE START	ED: MAY 23, 1974
		į.	B TOTAL CFU	C TOTAL	Ð REÇOMB⊬CFU
	ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X 10EB/1.UNL	SCREENED X 10E5/1.0ML	RECO BINON]	
	1 2	229.00 439.00	• 23 • 44	5.00 ~ ~ 00	21.93 15.95
	1 2 3 4 5 6	880.00 588.00 1026.00	.88 .59 3	7.00 19.00 10.00	7.95 32.31 9.73
	ნ 7	610.00 519.00	.82	3.00 6.00	13.70 9.8
	TOTAL		3.99	57.00	
		MALS EQUALS ENED OUT OF	RANGE EQUALS	•	
	MEAN CYMEA	И В =	24 ,2 8		
		MEAN RANGE MAX HIN	CUL. (R 1025) •57 •81 1.03 •22	COL. C (X 1025 8.18 16.00 17.00	(X 102-5) 15.9 2 .36 32.31
	·	**************************************	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , 		, • · · ·
	• •	. •	* SUMM RY WITE	OUT TERS REM	ίον <u>ε</u> α -
	MEAN CYMEA	N B =	11 * 17		
		⊬EAN ⊬AyGE	COL. (X 1055) .57	COL. C (X 1020 6.33	(X 102-5) 13.17 15.88
TOP.		*	1.03 .22	10.00 3.00	

HUST MEDIATED ASSAY REPORT SHEET

COMPOUND	FDA 71-57		ORGANISM: SAC	CHAKO WYCES D-
DOSE LEVE	EL: HIGH _ 3500	0 MG/KG		
TREATMENT	: IN VIVO, ORA	L. ACUTE	PATE STARTED:	MAY 23, 1974
ANIMAL NUMBER	A RAP CFU X 10E5/1.0ML	B TOTAL CFU SCREENED X 1025/1.0ML	C TOTAL RECO BINANTS /1.0ML	D SECOMBACFU SCREENED X LUE-S
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	542.00 1244.00 244.00 532.00 678.00 299.00	.54 1.24 24 .53 .58 .30	11.00 19.00 20.00 13.00 11.00 8.00	20.30 15.27 56.39 37.59 19.17 5.79 11.90
TOTAL		4.21	\$1,00	
	NIMALS EQUALS REENED OUT OF R	ANGE EQUALS	ĝ.	
MEAN C/ME	EAN B = 2	1 . 21		
	mean	COL. A (X.10%6) .60	COL. C (X 10±0) 13.00	CÖL. D (X liz-2) 25.42

		-	CUL, A	COL. C (% 10±0)	COL. D
		MEAN	(X. 10%6)	, <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	$(X^{1})_{2}^{2}$
			• • •	13.00	25,42
		RANGE	1.00	12.00	2.6
		MAX	1.24	20.00	37,59
		MIN	· 24	• 0 0	11.90
NO	OUTLIERS	• •	• •		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

STOP

HOST EDIATED ASSAY REPORT SHEET

CO POUND:	FDE	71-57	ORGANTS	SACCHAROS YCES	0 - 3
00 10 7401		1 1 2 1	OU CHIAT OUT	- JACCHARUS (CES	U-3

DOSE LEVEL: NEGATIVE CONTROL - SALINA

TREATMENT: IN VIVO, URAW, SUBACUTE DATE STARTED: MAY 3: 197-

	$E_{\rm i}$	Ê	C	D
		TOTAL CFU	TOTAL	2ECOMB/CFU
ANTMAL	RAW CFU X	SCREENED X	RECO BIN NTS	SCHEENED X
NUMBER	10ES/1.0ML	10F5/1.0%L	/1.OML	102-5
1	484.00	, 4 >	15.00	30.99
2	424,00	.42	8.00	18,87
3	759.00	.76	11.00	14.49
4.	896.00	•90	8.00	8.93
5	631.00	•63	8.00	12.68
6	481.00	• 4	15.00	31.19
7	437.00	. 44	11.00	25.17
8	1745.00	1.75	15.00	8.69
9	652.00	.65	13.00	19.94
10	655,00	• 6 -	11.00	16,79
TOTAL		7.15	113.00	

NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS 1

MEAN CYMEAN B = 16.73

		COL.	COL. C	COF* D
		(X 10E5)	(X 10E0)	(X 195~5)
	SEAN	. 72	11.5 0	13,76
	RANGE	1,32	Ŷ . 00	22,59
• •	SAK.	1.75	15.00	31.19
	IN	.42	ັດ.0ປ	8.0

NO OUTLIERS

HOST MEDIATED ASSAY REPURT SHEET

ORGANISM: SACCHARUMYCES D-3 COMPOUND: FDA 71-57

DOSE LEVEL: POSITIVE CONTROL -EMS - 350 MG/KG 1.M.

TREATMENT: IN VIVO, OHAL, ACUTE DATE STARTED: MAY 3, 1974

	niferior and a American Company	i mani na B alandaria	С	D	
		TOTAL CFU	TOTAL	RECOMB/CFU	
ANIMAL	RAW CFU X	SCHEENED X	RECOMBINANTS	SCREENED X	
NUMBER	10E5/1.0ML	1065/1.0ML	/1.0ML	10E-5	
1	1055.00	1.06	73.00	69.13	
2	579.00	•58	52.00	89.81	
3	646.00	. 65	74.00	114.55	
4	431.00	. •43	44.00	102.09	
. 	993 . 00		54.00	54.38	
6	655.00	•65	67.00	102.29	
7	1050.00	1.05	66.00	62.86	
8	945.00	.94	73.00	77.25	
9	a05.00	•80	93.00	115.53	
TOTAL	이 변경에 인과 인경이는	7.16	596.00		

NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS 9
NO. OF CONTAMINATED EQUALS 1

MEAN C/MEAN B = 83.24

		COL. 6	COL. C	COL. D
		(X 10E5)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-5)
taŭ i te distista terflytske li	MEAN	.80	66.22	87.54
	RANGE	•62	49.00	61.15
. ·	MAX	1.06	93.00	115.53
an aki in sa aykar Pashik	STATE OF THE STATE	• 43	44.00	. 54.38
NU OUTLIERS				
5				

• 510P SRU+S: •5

SWITCH INS:KS19

HOST MEDIATED ASSAY REPORT SHEET

DOSE LEVEL: LD5 - 1150 N	G/KG		
TREATMENT: IN VIVO, ORAL	, SUBACUTE	DATE STARTED	: MAY 3, 1974
	B TOTAL CFU	C TOTAL	RECOMB/CFU

	A	B	C C	D
		TOTAL CFU	TOTAL	RECOMB/CFU
ANIMAL	RAW CFU X	SCREENED X	RECOMBINANTS	SCREENED X
NUMBER	10E5/1.0ML	10E5/1.0ML	/1.0/IL	10E-5
1	922.00	•92	14.00	15.18
2	686.00	. 69	11.00	16.03
3	909.00	.91	11.00	12.10
4	1247.00	1.25	15.00	12.03
5	541.00	•54	14.00	25.88
6	217.00	.22	7.00	32.26
7	533.00	•53	12.00	22.51
TOTAL	20 min 11	5.05	84.00	V

NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS 7
TOTAL SCREENED OUT OF RANGE EQUALS 3

MEAN C/MEAN B = 16.62

COMPOUND: FDA 71-57

		COL. B	COL. C	COL. D
		(X 10E5)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-5)
	MEAN	.72	12.00	19.43
	RANGE	1.03	8.00	20.23
	MAX	1.25	15.00	32.26
	MIN	.22	7.00	12.03
O OUTLIEBE				

NO OUTLIERS

STOP SRU'S:.5

5. Cytogenetics - Test I

a. <u>In vivo</u>

(1) Acute study

The negative control group contained no aberrations. All three of the dosage level groups of the test compound contained no aberrations. The positive control group exhibited the expected severe chromosomal damage due to the positive control compound. The mitotic indices were within normal limits.

(2) Subacute study

The negative control group contained no aberrations. None of the dosage level groups of the test compound contained any aberrations. The mitotic indices were within normal limits.

b. <u>In vitro</u>

The negative control group contained two cells with bridges. The medium and high level dosage groups of the test compound each contained a cell with an acentric fragment. The values for the positive controls were within normal limits.

C. CYTOGENETIC SUMMARY SHEETS

CONTRACT FDA 71-268

COMPOUND FDA 71-57

MENTHOL

TEST I



MENTHOL FDA 71-57 **ACUTE STUDY** METAPHASE SUMMARY SHEET

The state of the s

Compound	Dosage (mg/kg)	Time*	No. of Animals	No. of Cells	Mitotic Index % **	% Cells with ** Breaks	% Cells with Reunion	% Cells Other Aber.**	% Cells with aber.++
Negative Control	saline	6 24 48	3 3 3	150 150 150	5 6 8	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0
Low Level	1.45	6 24 48	5 5 5	239 250 209	5 5 7	0 0 0	. 0 0 0	0 · 0	0 0 0
Intermediate Level	14.5	6 24 48	5 5 5	219 250 250	4 4 8	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0. 0 0
LD5	145.0	6 24 48	5 5 5	250 250 229	5 6 6	0 0 0	0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0
Positive Control TEM	0.3	48	5	250	5	1.2	21	5.2(f) 10.4(a)	37

^{*} Time of kill after injection (hours).
** Cells that have polyploidy (P), pulverization (pp), fragments (f) or greater than 10 aberrations (a).
*** Percent of cells in mitosis: 500 cells observed/animal.
++ Duplicate aberrations in a single cell will cause this to be a % less than a summation of the % 'aberration seen.

MENTHOL FDA 71-57 SUBACUTE STUDY METAPHASE SUMMARY SHEET

Compound	Dosage* (mg/kg)	No. of Animals	No. of Cells	Mitotic Index % ***	% Cells with Breaks	% Cells with Reunion	% Cells Other Aber.**	% Cells with aber.
Negative Control	saline	3	150	6	0	0	0	0
Low Level	1.45	5	250	5	0	0	0	0
Intermediate Level	14.5	5	250	4	0	0	0	0
LD ₅	145.0	5	250	5	0	0.	0	0

^{*} Dosage 1X/day X 5 days.

** Cells that have polyploidy (P), pulverization (pp), fragments (f) or greater than 10 aberrations (a).

***Percent of cells in mitosis: 500 cells observed/animal.

MENTHOL FDA 71-57 ANAPHASE SUMMARY SHEET

Compound	Dosage (mcg/ml)	Mitotic Index **	No. of <u>Cells</u>	% Cells with Acentric Frag.	% Cells with Bridges	% Multipolar Cells	% Cells Other Aber.*	% Cells with aber.++
Low Level	0.1	1	100	0	0	0	0	0
Medium Level	1.0	1	100	1	0	0	0	1
High Level	10.0	1	100	ī	0	0	0	1 .
Negative Control	saline	1	100	0	2	0	0	2
Positive Control (TEM)	0.1	1	100	6	23	3	0	30

^{*} Cells that have polyploidy (p), pulverization (pp), fragments (f) or greater than 10 aberrations (a). ** Percent of cells in mitosis: 200 cells observed/dose level.

⁺⁺ Duplicate aberrations in a single cell will cause this to be a % less than a summation of the % aberration seen.

6. Cytogenetics - Test II

Compound FDA 71-57, Menthol, was administered to male rats with an average body weight of 300-350 grams. In the acute study (single dose) dosage levels employed were 3000 mg/kg (high) and 500 mg/kg (intermediate) and in the subacute study (five doses) the rats received a dose of 1150 mg/kg (high). Metaphase chromosome spreads were prepared from the bone marrow cells of these animals and scored for chromosomal aberrations. Neither the variety nor the number of these aberrations differed significantly from the negative controls; hence, compound FDA 71-57, Menthol, can be considered non-mutagenic as measured by the cytogenetic test.

CYTOGENETIC SUMMARY SHEETS

CONTRACT FDA 71-268

COMPOUND FDA 71-57

MENTHOL

TEST II

MENTHOL FDA 71-57 **ACUTE STUDY** METAPHASE SUMMARY SHEET

Compound .	Dosage (mg/kg)	Time*	No. of <u>Animals</u>	No. of Cells	Mitotic Index %++	No. of Cells w/ Breaks**	No. of Cells w/ Reunion**	No of Cells With Other Aberrations**+	No. of Cells w/ Aber.**
Intermediate	500	6 24 48	5 5 5	250 250 250	4.20 4.09 4.17	0 0 0	0 2(0.8) 0	1pp(0.4) 0 0	1(0.4) 2(0.8) 0
High	3000	6 24 48	5 5 5	250 250 250	3.53 5.32 4.00	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0
Negative Control	Saline	6 24 48	3 3 3	150 150 150	4.15 3.45 4.73	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 1pp(0.66) 0	0 1(0.66) 0
Positive Control (TEM)	0.3	24	5	250	1.53	3(1:2)	37(14.8)	>13(5.2) 11f(4.4)	57(22.8)

^{*} Time of kill after dosing (hours).
** Numbers in () are percent aberrations per total cells counted.
+ Symbols: > = greater than 10 aberrations per cell; f = fragments; pp = polyploidy; and pu = pulverization.
++ Based on a count of at least 500 cells per animal.

MENTHOL FDA 71-57 SUBACUTE STUDY METAPHASE SUMMARY SHEET

Compound	Dosage (mg/kg)	No. of Animals	No. of Cells	Mitotic Index %++	No. of Cells w/ Breaks**	No. of Cells w/ Reunion**	No. of Cells w/ Other Aber.**	No. of Cells w/ Aber.**
High	1150	5	250	3.77	0	1(0.4)	1pp(0.4)	2(0.8)
Negative Control	Saline	3	150	4.60	0	0	0	0

^{**} Numbers in () are percent aberrations per total cells counted. ++ Based on a count of at least 500 cells per animal.

7. Dominant Lethal Assay - Test I

a. Acute study

In general, significant differences between the negative control and experimental groups were shown at various weeks throughout the parameters. At weeks 7 and 8 significant increases in average resorptions were shown for the experimental groups but the negation control showed significant decreases at these weeks when compared to the historical control.

b. Subacute study

A similar pattern of results as seen in the acute study.



c. DOMINANT LETHAL ASSAY SUMMARY SHEETS

CONTRACT FDA 71-268

COMPOUND FDA 71-57

MENTHOL

TEST I

(Through error the computer had been programmed so that a double rounding off of numbers occurred at print out. In no way does this alter the statistics which are calculated on the full unrounded numbers.)



TABLE I

COMPOUND 57

STUDY ACUTE

FERTILITY INDEX

LOG DOSE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 1.450 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 14.500 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 145.000 MG/KG	POSITIVE CONTROL
		1	109/159=0.69	14/20=0.70	17/19=0.90	14/20=0.70	14/20=0.70	10/20=0.50
		2	119/159=0.75	16/20=0.80	15/19=0.79	17/20=0.85	16/19=0.85	2/19=0.11**
! !	<u>:</u> :	3	119/158=0.76	20/20=1.00	16/19=0.85	18/20=0.90	19/20=0.95	5/20±0.25** **
		4	136/160=0.85	16/20=0.80	15/20=0.75	18/20=0.90	17/20=0.85	5/19=0.27**
		5	127/159=0.80	17/20=0.85	16/18=0.89	16/20=0.80	18/20=0.90	11/19=0.58
9		6	128/159=0.81	16/20=0.80	19/20=0.95	17/19=0.90	16/20=0.80	17/20=0.85
•		7	133/157=0.85	17/20=0.85	20/20=1.00	17/20=0.85.	18/20=0.90	17/20=0.85
	•	8	133/160=0.84	16/20=0.80	17/20=0.85	16/20=0.80	18/20=0.90	17/19=0.90

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

ONE !,* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05
TWO !,* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

^{*} SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

[!] SIGNIFICANT LINEAR RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE II
COMPOUND 57 STUDY ACUTE

AVERAGE NUMBER OF IMPLANTATIONS PER PREGNANT FEMALE

LOG DOSE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 1.450 MG/KG		DOSE LEVEL 145.000 MG/KG	POSITIVE CONTROL
		1	1351/109=12.4	172/14=12.3	197/17=11.6	171/14=12.2	164/14=11.7	103/10=10.3*@D **d2
		2	1427/119=12.0	186/16=11.6	190/15=12.7	191/17=11.2	189/16=11.8	20/ 2=10.0 an
		3	1435/119=12.1	224/20=11.2 aD	183/16=11.4	208/18=11.6	221/19=11.6	29/ 5= 5.8**)බ **ාරි
		t†	1626/136=12.0	173/16=10.8	182/15=12.1	210/18=11.7	211/17=12.401	7/ 5= 1.4**08 **38
!	, 1	5	1466/127=11.5	212/17=12.5	190/16=11.9	191/16=11.9	194/18=10.80D	95/11= 8.6*÷⊅3 *∂9D
•	ε !	6	1512/128=11.8	210/16=13.1	229/19=12.1 aI	202/ 17=11. 9*a	D 209/16=13.1 *@I	175/17=10.3**მმ მD
દ !	!	7	1626/133=12.2	188/17=11.1	235/20=11.8	202/17=11.9	202/18=11.2 **@@	184/17=10.8 **@@
		8	1551/133=11.7	191/16=11.9	198/17=11.7	182/16=11.4	210/18=11.7	177/17=10.4*@D *@D

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

8 AND * = TWO-TAILED TEST
! AND @ = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE !, &, ω , * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !, &, ω , * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

*, D SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

8,! SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE III
COMPOUND 57 STUDY ACUTE

DOSE LEVEL

AVERAGE CORPORA LUTEA PER PREGNANT FEMALE

DOSE LEVEL

1.450 MG/KG 14.500 MG/KG 145.000 MG/KG

DOSE LEVEL

POSITIVE

CONTROL

			•	•		
	1	1504/109=13.8 195/14=13.9	224/17=13.2	189/14=13.5	198/14=14.1	120/10=12.0@D @D
	2	1588/119=13.3 208/16=13.0	211/15=14.1	217/17=12.8	209/16=13.1	27/ 2=13.5
	3	1565/119=13.2 253/20=12.7	208/16=13.0	228/18=12.7	245/19=12.9	56/ 5=11.2 *@n
	4	1784/136=13.1 214/16=13.4	199/15=13.3	239/18=13.3	223/17=13.1	63/ 5=12.6
	5	1648/127=13.0 237/17=13.9	211/16=13.2	212/16=13.3	227/18=12.6	122/11=11.1**d0 **d0
14 14 1	6	1689/128=13.2 229/16=14.3	246/19=13.0aD	215/17=12.7*@@D	225/16=14.1 @I	200/17=11.8**@3 *@31
	7	1767/133=13.3 219/17=12.9	259/20=13.0	234/17=13.8	224/18=12.4 @D	202/17=11.9 **@j
	8	1823/133=13.7 223/16=13.9	213/17=12.5@D @D	210/16=13.1	249/18=13.8	209/17=12.3dD add

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

E AND * = TWO-TAILED TEST ! AND @ = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE !, δ , $\tilde{\omega}$, * = SIGNTFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !, δ , ω , * = SIGNTFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

HISTORICAL

CONTROL

NEGATIVE

CONTROL

*, @ SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL 8,! SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

LOG ARITH

DOSE DOSE WEEK

TABLE IV
COMPOUND 57 STUDY ACUTE

AVERAGE PREIMPLANTATION LOSSES PER PREGNANT FEMALE

	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATI V E CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 1.450 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 14.500 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 145.000 MG/KG	POSITIVE CONTROL
		1	153/109= 1.4	23/14= 1.6	27/17= 1.6	18/14= 1.3	34/14= 2.4	17/10= 1.7
		2	161/119= 1.4	22/16= 1.4	21/15= 1.4	26/17= 1.5	20/16= 1.3	7/ 2= 3.5**@@ **@@
		3	130/119= 1.1	29/20= 1.5	25/16= 1.6	20/18= 1.1	24/19= 1.3	27/ 5= 5.4************************************
ţ		4	158/136= 1.2	41/16= 2.6 *@I	17/15= 1.1aD	29/18= 1.6	12/17= 0.7*aD	56/ 5=11.2**@@ **@@
i	!	5	182/127= 1.4	25/17= 1.5	21/16= 1.3	21/16= 1.3	33/18= 1.8 *@I	27/11= 2.5
.		6	177/128= 1.4	19/16= 1.2	17/19= 0.9	13/17= 0.8	16/16= 1.0	25/17= 1.5
& !		7	141/133= 1.1	31/17= 1.8 @I	24/20= 1.2	32/17= 1.9	22/18= 1.2 @I	18/17= 1:1
		8	272/133= 2.1	32/16= 2.0	15/17= 0.9*a	0 28/16= 1.8	39/18= 2.2	32/17= 1.9

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

E AND * = TWO-TAILED TEST ! AND \(\partial = ONE-TAILED TEST \)

ONE !, ε , ϑ , * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !, ε , ϑ , * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

*, D SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL 8,! SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OF LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE V STUDY ACUTE

COMPOUND 57

AVERAGE RESORPTIONS (DEAD IMPLANTS) PER PREGNANT FEMALE

LOG DOSE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 1.450 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 14.500 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 145.000 MG/KG	POSITIVE CONTROL
		1	28/109=0.26	5/14=0.36	9/17=0.53 DI	7/14=0.50	6/14=0.43	59/10=5.90**@@I **@@I
. !	ε!	2	53/119=0.45	7/16=0.44	2/15=0.14 *@@D	4/17=0.24	12/16=0.75	1/ 2=0.50
		3	61/119=0.52	20/20=1.00	11/16=0.69	15/18=0.84	14/19=0.74	6/ 5=1.20
		4	62/136=0.46	24/16=1.50 @I	5/15=0.34*aD	7/18=0.39@D	12/17=0.71	0/ 5=0.0 **@aD **@aD
	į.	5	74/127=0.59	8/17=0.48	7/16=0.44	5/16=0.32	24/18=1.34	9/11=0.82
13		. 6	58/128=0.46	12/16=0.75	6/19=0.32	2/17=0.12*aD **aa!	•	31/17=1.83@I **@@I
4. 		7	65/133=0.49	1/17=0.06 **@a	16/20=0.80**@DI	9/17=0.53@I	5/18=0.28@I	18/17=1.06*@DI
		8	71/133=0.54	2/16=0.13 **@a	8/17=0.48@I	5/16=0.32	10/18=0.56	7/17=0.42

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

& AND * = TWO-TAILED TEST ! AND D = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE !, &, a, * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !, ε , ω , * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

^{*, @} SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

^{-8,!} SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE VI
COMPOUND 57 STUDY ACUTE

PROPORTION OF FEMALES WITH ONE OR MORE DEAD IMPLANTATIONS

LOG ARITH DOSE DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 1.450 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 14.500 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 145.000 MG/KG	POSITIVE CONTROL
	1	24/109=0.23	4/14=0.29	8/17=0.48	3/14=0.22	4/14=0.29	7/10=0.70*
	2	38/119=0.32	4/16=0.25	2/15=0.14	4/17=0.24	8/16=0.50	1/ 2=0.50
	3	39/119=0.33	10/20=0.50	5/16=0.32	8/18=0.45	9/19=0.48	2/ 5=0.40
	4	46/136=0.34	9/16=0.57	4/15=0.27	7/18=0.39	8/17=0.48	0/5=0.0 *
	5	45/127=0.36	5/17=0.30	5/16=0.32	5/16=0.32	7/18=0.39	3/11=0.28
<u> </u>	6	44/128=0.35	6/16=0.38	4/19=0.22	1/17=0.06*	7/16=0.44	11/17=0.65
• •	7	46/133=0.35	1/17=0.06	9/20=0.45**	5/17=0.30	5/18=0.28	7/17=0.42*
	8	50/133=0.38	2/16=0.13	6/17=0.36	3/16=0.19	4/18=0.23	6/17=0.36

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

ONE !,* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !,* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

^{*} SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

[!] SIGNIFICANT LINEAR RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE VII
COMPOUND 57 STUDY ACUTE

PORPORTION OF FEMALES WITH TWO OR MORE DEAD IMPLANTATIONS

LOG DOSE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 1.450 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL. 14.500 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 145.000 MG/KG	POSITIVE CONTROL
! !		1	3/109=0.03	1/14=0.08	1/17=0.06	2/14=0.15	2/14=0.15	7/10=0.70**
		2	14/119=0.12	1/16=0.07	0/15=0.0	0/17=0.0	1/16=0.07	0/2=0.0
		3	17/119=0.15	6/20=0.30	3/16=0.19	4/18=0.23	2/19=0.11	1/ 5=0.20
		4	12/136=0.09	4/16=0.25	1/15=0.07	0/18=0.0 *	2/17=0.12	0/5=0.0
		5	18/127=0.15	2/17=0.12	2/16=0.13	0/16=0.0	4/18=0.23	3/11=0.28
1 5		6	13/128=0.11	5/16=0.32	2/19=0.11	1/17=0.06	3/16=0.19	9/17=0.53
		7	14/133=0.11	0/17=0.0	4/20=0.20	2/17=0.12	0/18=0.0	4/17=0.24*
		8	18/133=0.14	0/16=0.0	2/17=0.12	1/16=0.07	3/18=0.17	1/17=0.06

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

ONE !,* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !,* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

^{*} SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

[!] SIGNIFICANT LINEAR RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE VIII COMPOUND 57 STU

DEAD IMPLANTS / TOTAL IMPLANTS

STUDY ACUTE

WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 1.450 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 14.500 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 145.000 MG/KG	POSITIVE CONTROL
1	28/1351=0.03	5/172=0.03	9/197=0.05 aI	7/171=0.05	6/164=0.04	59/103=0.58**a **90
2	53/1427=0.04	7/186=0.04	2/190=0.02 *aD	4/191=0.03 @I	12/189=0.07	1/ 20=0.05
3	61/1435=0.05	20/224=0.09	11/183=0.07	15/208=0.08	14/221=0.07	6/ 29=0.21
ц	62/1626=0.04	24/173=0.14 @I	5/182=0.03*ap	7/210=0.04*8	DD 12/211=0.06@D	0/ 7=0.0 **@@
. 5	74/1466=0.06	8/212=0.04	7/190=0.04	5/191=0.03	24/194=0.13@I	9/ 95=0.10
6	58/1512=0.04	12/210=0.06	6/229=0.03	2/202=0.01av		31/175=0.18*@@ **@@
7	65/1626=0.04	1/188=0.01 *@D	16/235=0.07*@I	9/202=0.05	5/202=0.03	18/184=0.10*71
8	71/1551=0.05	2/191=0.02	8/198=0.05*@I	5/182=0.03	10/210=0.05	7/177=0.04*91

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

^{* =} TWO-TAILED TEST D = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE *, ϑ = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO *, ϑ = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

^{*.} D SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

TABLE I
COMPOUND 57 STUDY SUBACUTE

FERTILITY INDEX

LOG DOSE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 1.450 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 14.500 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 145.000 MG/KG
		1	104/159=0.66	12/20=0.60	17/20=0.85	14/20=0.70	16/20=0.80
		2	118/160=0.74	13/17=0.77	19/20=0.95	18/20=0.90	16/20=0.80
		3	119/159=0.75	16/20=0.80	15/20=0.75	17/20=0.85	17/20=0.85
į		4	120/154=0.78	16/20=0.80	17/20=0.85	19/20=0.95	19/20=0.95
		5	122/157=0.78	18/20=0.90	19/20=0.95	17/20=0.85	18/20=0.90
17		6	136/159=0.86	17/20=0.85	19/20=0.95	16/20=0.80	18/20=0.90
		7	135/155=0.88	16/20=0.80	15/19=0.79	17/20=0.85	18/18=1.00*

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

ONE !,* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !,* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

^{*} SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

[!] SIGNIFICANT LINEAR RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE II

COMPOUND 57

STUDY SUBACUTE

AVERAGE NUMBER OF IMPLANTATIONS PER PREGNANT FEMALE

LOG DOSE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 1.450 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL I 14.500 MG/KG	OOSE LEVEL 145.000 MG/KG
		1	1231/104=11.8	146/12=12.2	199/17=11.7	164/14=11.7	188/16=11.8
		2	1474/118=12.5	164/13=12.6	255/19=13.4	216/18=12.0	200/16=12.5
E !		3	1405/119=11.8	161/16=10.1 aD	164/15=10.9	203/17=11.901	216/17=12.7*@@I *@I
		4	1414/120=11.8	197/16=12.3	199/17=11.7	234/19=12.3	240/19=12.6 @I
		5	1462/122=12.0	198/18=11.0	218/19=11.5	220/17=12.9*aI *aa	•
18		6	1626/136=12.0	216/17=12.7 @I	213/19=11.2**@@ aD	DD181/16=11.3	222/18=12.3
		7	1566/135=11.6	177/16=11.1	180/15=12.0	187/17=11.0	204/18=11.3

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

& AND * = TWO-TAILED TEST
! AND @ = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE !, &, \omega, * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !, &, \omega, * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

*, @ SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

8,! SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE III

COMPOUND 57

STUDY SUBACUTE

AVERAGE CORPORA LUTEA PER PREGNANT FEMALE

LOG DOSE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 1.450 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 14.500 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 145.000 MG/KG
		1	1385/104=13.3	171/12=14.3	234/17=13.8	189/14=13.5	228/16=14.3
	!	2	1599/118=13.6	187/13=14.4	272/19=14.3	243/18=13.5	238/16=14.9
		3	1535/119=12.9	202/16=12.6	196/15=13,1	225/17=13.2	228/17=13.4
દ !	!	4	1499/120=12.5	218/16=13.6 ai	208/17=12.2*@I	250/19=13.2	257/19=13.5 @I
		5	1554/122=12.7	240/18=13.3	252/19=13.3	237/17=13.9 *a	234/18=13.0
) James		6	1809/136=13.3	230/17=13.5	236/19=12.4*a! *ai		242/18=13.4
©		7	1711/135=12.7	203/16=12.7	202/15=13.5	202/17=11.9	231/18=12.8

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

& AND * = TWO-TAILED TEST
! AND @ = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE !, ε , ω , \star ' = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !, ε , ω , \star = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

*, a SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL 8.! SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE IV

Secretary Secretary Secretary Secretary Secretary Secretary Secretary Secretary

COMPOUND 57

STUDY SUBACUTE

AVERAGE PREIMPLANTATION LOSSES PER PREGNANT FEMALE

LOG DOSE		WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 1.450 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 14.500 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 145.000 MG/KG
		1	154/104= 1.5	25/12= 2.1	35/17= 2.1	25/14= 1.8	40/16= 2.5
ε!	i	2	125/118= 1.1	23/13= 1.8	17/19= 0.9	27/18= 1.5	38/16= 2.4
		3	130/119= 1.1	41/16= 2.6	32/15= 2.1	22/17= 1.3	12/17= 0.7
		4	85/120= 0.7	21/16= 1.3	9/17= 0.5	16/19= 0.8	17/19= 0.9
: : !!	!	5	92/122= 0.8	42/18= 2.3 · **@	34/19= 1.8		
		6	183/136= 1.4	14/17= 0.8	23/19= 1.2	40/16= 2.5	20/18= 1.1
20		7	145/135= 1.1	26/16= 1.6	22/15= 1.5	15/17= 0.9	27/18= 1.5

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

 ε AND * = TWO-TAILED TEST

! AND @ = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE !, ε , ω , \star = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !, ε , ε , \star = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

*, D SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

8,! SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE V

COMPOUND 57

STUDY SUBACUTE

AVERAGE RESORPTIONS (DEAD IMPLANTS) PER PREGNANT FEMALE

LOG DOSE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 1.450 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 14.500 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 145.000 MG/KG
		1	40/104=0.39	3/12=0.25	11/17=0.653I	7/14=0.50	8/16=0.50
		2	59/118=0.50	13/13=1.00	8/19=0.43	15/18=0.84 @I	8/16=0.50
	!	3	69/119=0.58	11/16=0.69	9/15=0.60	19/17=1.12 @I	6/17=0.36
		4	66/120=0.55	6/16=0.38	6/17=0.36	9/19=0.48	9/19=0.48
! !!33	: & !!	5	78/122=0.64	12/18=0.67	8/19=0.43	6/17=0.36	2/18=0.12@D **@@D
21		6	62/136=0.46	2/17=0.12 **aaD	9/19=0.48	6/16=0.38	4/18=0.23
•		7	70/135=0.52	5/16=0.32	5/15=0.34	6/17=0.36	3/18=0.17 *aD

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

& AND * = TWO-TAILED TEST ! AND @ = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE !, ε , ϑ , \star ' = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !, ε , ϑ , \star = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

*, @ SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

E,! SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE VI COMPOUND 57 STUDY SUBACUTE

PROPORTION OF FEMALES WITH ONE OR MORE DEAD IMPLANTATIONS

LOG DOSE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 1.450 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 14.500 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 145.000 MG/KG
		1	31/104=0.30	2/12=0.17	9/17=0.53*	5/14=0.36	7/16=0.44
		2	38/118=0.33	7/13=0.54	6/19=0.32	10/18=0.56	4/16=0.25
		3	42/119=0.36	8/16=0.50	6/15=0.40	10/17=0.59	5/17=0.30
		4	42/120=0.35	3/16=0.19	4/17=0.24	5/19=0.27	5/19=0.27
!! !!	<u>:</u>	5	54/122=0.45	6/18=0.34	4/19=0.22	5/17=0.30	2/18=0.12
? 2 ·		6	43/136=0.32	2/17=0.12	5/19=0.27	4/16=0.25	3/18=0.17
		7	42/135=0.32	4/16=0.25	4/15=0.27	6/17=0.36	3/18=0.17

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

ONE !,* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !,* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

^{*} SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

[!] SIGNIFICANT LINEAR RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE VII
COMPOUND 57 STUDY SUBACUTE

PORPORTION OF FEMALES WITH TWO OR MORE DEAD IMPLANTATIONS

LOG	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 1.450 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 14.500 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 145.000 MG/KG
		1	8/104=0.08	1/12=0.09	2/17=0.12	1/14=0.08	1/16=0.07
		2	10/118=0.09	5/13=0.39	2/19=0.11	4/18=0.23	2/16=0.13
		3	17/119=0.15	2/16=0.13	2/15=0.14	4/17=0.24	1/17=0.06
		4	15/120=0.13	2/16=0.13	2/17=0.12	2/19=0.11	3/19=0.16
i i		5	19/122=0.16	3/18=0.17	3/19=0.16	1/17=0.06	0/18=0.0
ږ <u>.</u> ند	·	6	13/136=0.10	0/17=0.0	3/19=0.16	2/16=0.13	1/18=0.06
!!		7	16/135=0.12	1/16=0.07	1/15=0.07	0/17=0.0	0/18=0.0

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

ONE !,* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !,* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

^{*} SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

[!] SIGNIFICANT LINEAR RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

COMPOUND 57

STUDY SUBACUTE

DEAD IMPLANTS / TOTAL IMPLANTS

TABLE VIII

WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE DO CONTROL	OSE LEVEL 1.450 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL I 14.500 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 145.000 MG/KG
1	40/1231=0.04	3/146=0.03	11/199=0.06@I	7/164=0.05	8/188=0.05
2	59/1474=0.05	13/164=0.08	8/255=0.04@D	15/216=0.07	8/200=0.04
3	69/1405=0.05	11/161=0.07	9/164=0.06	19/203=0.10	6/216=0.03*@D
4	66/1414=0.05	6/197=0.04	6/199=0.04	9/234=0.04	9/240=0.04
5	78/1462=0.06	12/198=0.07	8/218=0.04	6/220=0.03āD * @E	2/212=0.01*@D **.0@D
6	62/1626=0.04	2/216=0.01 **@@D	9/213=0.05@I	6/181=0.04	4/222=0.02 ωD
7	70/1566=0.05	5/177=0.03	5/180=0.03	6/187=0.04	3/204=0.02

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

* = TWO-TAILED TEST D = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE *, ∂ = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO *, ∂ = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

*, D SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

8. Dominant Lethal Assay - Test II

Compound FDA 71-57, Menthol, was administered to ten male rats (325-350 grams) at dose levels of 500 mg/kg (intermediate) and 3000 mg/kg (high) according to acute (single dose) and 1150 mg/kg (high) subacute (five doses) protocols. Each treated male rat was mated with two virgin female rats each week for seven (subacute) or eight (acute) weeks. Two weeks after mating, female rats were sacrificed and the fertility index, preimplantation loss and lethal effects on the embryos were determined and compared with those same parameters calculated from negative (saline-dosed) and positive (0.3 mg/kg TEM-dosed) control animals.

The values calculated for these parameters from animals dosed with compound FDA 71-57, Menthol, did not significantly vary from those obtained from the negative controls; whereas, TEM caused a significant pre-implantation loss and embryo resorption during the first five weeks.

Comparing these data with the previously obtain values for dose levels of 1.45 mg/kg, 14.5 mg/kg and 145.0 mg/kg revealed no dose-response or time-trend patterns, thus indicating that compound FDA 71-57, Menthol, does not induce dominant lethal mutations.

It should be noted that the acute intermediate and high doses were run separately and consequently had separate controls; therefore, these two groups could not be compared directly.



DOMINANT LETHAL ASSAY SUMMARY SHEETS

CONTRACT FDA 71-268

COMPOUND FDA 71-57

MENTHOL

TEST II

(Through error the computer had been programmed so that a double rounding off of numbers occurred at print out. In no way does this alter the statistics which are calculated on the full unrounded numbers.)



TABLE I

ירותי ובל כובל כובל בים בים כבל וכד כל כבל כבל כבל בים כים כבל

COMPOUND 57

STUDY ACUTE

FERTILITY INDEX

OG OSE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 500.0 MG/KG	POSITIVE CONTROL
		1	214/315=0.68	15/ 20=0.75	10/ 20=0.50	10/ 20=0.50
		2	227/315=0.72	13/ 20=0.65	16/ 20=0.80	7/ 20=0.35
		3	237/314=0.75	17/ 20=0.85	19/ 20=0.95	16/ 20=0.80
		4	265/316=0.84	16/ 20=0.80	18/ 20=0.90	13/ 20=0.65
		5	248/315=0.79	19/ 20=0.95	13/ 20=0.65*	18/ 20=0.90
		6	243/315=0.77	15/ 20=0.75	16/ 20=0.80	14/ 20=0.70
		7	254/313=0.81	17/ 20=0.85	18/ 20=0.90	17/ 20=0.85
	•	8	259/316=0.82	17/ 20=0.85	18/ 20=0.90	15/ 20=0.75

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

ONE !, * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !, * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

^{*} SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

[!] SIGNIFICANT LINEAR RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE II

COMPOUND 57

STUDY ACUTE

AVERAGE NUMBER OF IMPLANTATIONS PER PREGNANT FEMALE

LOG DOSE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL		GATIVE ONTROL		E LEVEL	F	CONTROL	
		1	26 17/2 14=12.2	164/	15=10.9	108/	10=10.8	95/	10= 9.5 **aa	D
		2	2748/227=12.1	146/	13=11.2	181/	16=11.3	35/	7= 5.0**aa **aa	
		3	2856/237=12.1	194/	17=11.4	231/	19=12.2	82/	16= 5.1**@@ **@@	
		4	3161/265=11.9	185/	16=11.6	203/	18=11.3 *aD	81/	13= 6.2**@@ **@@	
		5	2961/248=11.9	213/	19=11.2	154/	13=11.8	194/	18=10.8	
		6	2905/243=12.0	176/	15=11.7	183/	16=11.4	179/	14=12.8	
		7	3135/254=12.3	208/	17=12.2	212/	18=11.8	223/	17=13.1 *@I	
	3	8	3118/259=12.0	222/	17=13.1 @I	226/	18=12.6	185/	15=12.3	

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

& AND * = TWO-TAILED TEST
! AND @ = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE !, ε , ϑ , * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !, ε , ϑ , * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

*. a SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

8.1 SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE III

COMPOUND 57

STUDY ACUTE

AVERAGE CORPORA LUTEA PER PREGNANT FEMALE

LOG DOŜE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL		SATIVE ONTROL		SE LEVEL 500.0 MG/KG	F	POSITIVE CONTROL
		1	3037/214=14.2	220/	15=14.7	128/	10=12.8*aaD **aal		10=12.7*aD *aD
		2	3182/227=14.0	1767	13=13.5	218/	16=13.6	109/	7=15.6
		3	3250/237=13.7	221/	17=13.0	270/	19=14.2dI	183/	16=11.40D **@@D
		4	3590/265=13.5	227/	16=14.2	234/	18=13.0	147/	13=11.3**anD **anD
		5	3372/248=13.6	259/	19=13.6	171/	13=13.2	257/	18=14.3
•		6	3361/243=13.8	198/	15=13.2	220/	16=13.8	209/	14=14.9
		7	3454/254=13.6	236/	17=13.9	272/	18=15.1	259/	17=15.2 *@I
		8	3659/259=14.1	257/	17=15.1	255/	18=14.2	200/	15=13.3*@D

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

& AND * = TWO-TAILED TEST
! AND @ = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE !, ε , ∂ , * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !, ε , ∂ , * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

*, & SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

8,! SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE IV

COMPOUND 57

STUDY ACUTE

AVERAGE PREIMPLANTATION LOSSES PER PREGNANT FEMALE

LOG DOSE	ARITH DOSE		HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 500.0 MG/KG	POSITI VE CONTROL
		1	420/214= 2.0	56/ 15= 3.7	20/ 10= 2.0	32/ 10= 3.2 **@@I
		2	434/227= 1.9	30/ 13= 2.3	37/ 16= 2.3	74/ 7=10.6**ddI **ddI
		3	394/237= 1.7	27/ 17= 1.6	39/ 19= 2.1	101/ 16= 6.3**aaı **aaı
		4	429/265= 1.6	42/ 16= 2.6	31/ 18= 1.7	66/ 13= 5.1*@I **@@I
		5	411/248= 1.7	46/ 19= 2.4	17/ 13= 1.3	63/ 18= 3.5 *@I
		6	456/243= 1.9	22/ 15= 1.5	37/ 16= 2.3	30/ 14= 2.1
		7	319/254= 1.3	28/ 17= 1.6	60/ 18= 3.3 *@@I	36/ 17= 2.1 . ∌I
	1	8	541/259= 2.1	35/ 17= 2.1	29/ 18= 1.6	15/ 15= 1.0 ລຽ

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

& AND * = TWO-TAILFD TEST
! AND @ = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE !, ε , ∂ , * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !, ε , ∂ , * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

*, D SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

8,! SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE V

COMPOUND 57

STUDY ACUTE

AVEBAGE RESORPTIONS (DEAD IMPLANTS) PER PREGNANT FEMALE

OG OSE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 500.0 MG/KG	POSITIVE CONTROL	
		1	79/214=0.37	7/ 15=0.47	6/ 10=0.60	68/ 10=6.80**aaI **aaI	
		2	119/227=0.52	7/ 13=0.54	14/ 16=0.88 ai	35/ 7=5.00**@dI **@dI	
		3	141/237=0.59	15/ 17=0.88	12/ 19=0.63	82/ 16=5.13**@@I **@@I	
		4	135/265=0.51	11/ 16=0.69	8/ 18=0.44	69/ 13=5.31**@dI **@dI	
		5	144/248=0.58	16/ 19=0.84	10/ 13=0.77	38/ 18=2.11*@I **@@I	
		. 6	147/243=0.60	12/ 15=0.80	9/ 16=0.56	15/ 14=1.07 @I	
		7	133/254=0.52	21/ 17=1.24 *@@I	24/ 18=1.33	7/ 17=0.41*@D	
	•	8	144/259=0.56	13/ 17=0.76	9/ 18=0.50	9/ 15=0.60	

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

& AND * = TWO-TAILED TEST ! AND @ = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE $!, \epsilon, a, *$ = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO $!, \epsilon, a, *$ = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

*, @ SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

6,! SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE VI

COMPOUND 57

STUDY ACUTE

PROPORTION OF FEMALES WITH ONE OR MORE DEAD IMPLANTATIONS

) G) S E	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 500.0 MG/KG	POSITIVE CONTROL
		1	60/214=0.28	6/ 15=0.40	5/ 10=0.50	10/ 10=1.00**
		2	80/227=0.35	5/ 13=0.38	10/ 16=0.63	7/ 7=1.00**
		3	92/237=0.39	9/ 17=0.53	7/ 19=0.37	16/ 16=1.00** **
		4	105/265=0.40	9/ 16=0.56	5/ 18=0.28	13/ 13=1.00**
		5	90/248=0.36	9/ 19=0.47	7/ 13=0.54	13/ 18=0.72
		6	94/243=0.39	7/ 15=0.47	9/ 16=0.56	9/ 14=0.64
		7	91/254=0.36	11/ 17=0.65	9/ 18=0.50	6/ 17=0.35
	*	8	100/259=0.39	7/ 17=0.41	9/ 18=0.50	7/ 15=0.47

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

ONE !,* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !,* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

^{*} SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

[!] SIGNIFICANT LINEAR RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

COMPOUND 57

STUDY ACUTE

PORPORTION OF FEMALES WITH TWO OR MORE DEAD IMPLANTATIONS

TABLE VII

OG OS E	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 500.0 MG/KG	POSITIVE CONTROL
		1	10/214=0.05	1/ 15=0.07	1/ 10=0.10	10/ 10=1.00**
		2	28/227=0.12	1/ 13=0.08	3/ 16=0.19	7/ 7=1.00**
		3	36/237=0.15	4/ 17=0.24	4/ 19=0.21	12/ 16=0.75** **
		4	24/265=0.09	2/ 16=0.13	1/ 18=0.06	12/ 13=0.92**
		5	37/248=0.15	5/ 19=0.26	3/ 13=0.23	8/ 18=0.44
		6	36/243=0.15	4/ 15=0.27	0/16=0.0 *	4/ 14=0.29
		7	29/254=0.11	5/ 17=0.29	5/ 18=0.28	1/ 17=0.06
		8	30/259=0.12	3/ 17=0.18	0/18=0.0	1/ 15=0.07

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

ONE !,* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !,* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

^{*} SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

[!] SIGNIFICANT LINEAR RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE VIII

COMPOUND 57

STUDY ACUTE

DEAD IMPLANTS / TOTAL IMPLANTS

WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 500.0 MG/KG	POSITIVE CONTROL
1	79/2617=0.03	7/164=0.04	6/108=0.06	68/ 95=0.72**anı **anı
2	119/2748=0.04	7/146=0.05	14/181=0.08	35/ 35=1.00**@aI **@@I
3	141/2856=0.05	15/194=0.08	12/231=0.05	82/ 82=1.00**aaI **aaI
4	135/3161=0.04	11/185=0.06	8/203=0.04	69/ 81=0.85**@dI **@dI
5	144/2961=0.05	16/213=0.08	10/154=0.06	38/194=0.20*@I **@@I
6	147/2905=0.05	12/176=0.07	9/183=0.05	15/179=0.08
7	133/3135=0.04	21/208=0.10	24/212=0.11 I aI	7/223=0.03*@D
8	144/3118=0.05	13/222=0.06	9/226=0.04	9/185=0.05

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

^{* =} TWO-TAILED TEST

^{@ =} ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE *, 0 = SIGNIFICANT AT PLESS THAN 0.05
TWO *, 0 = SIGNIFICANT AT PLESS THAN 0.01

^{*,} a SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

TABLE I

COMPOUND 57

STUDY ACUTE

FERTILITY INDEX

LOĞ DOSE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 3000.0 MG/KG	POSITIVE CONTROL
		1	198/295=0.67	16/20=0.80	8/ 20=0.40**	11/ 20=0.55
		2	214/295=0.73	13/ 20=0.65	13/ 20=0.65	8/ 20=0.40
·		3	222/294=0.76	15/ 20=0.75	12/ 20=0.60	13/ 20=0.65
		4	249/296=0.84	16/ 20=0.80	16/ 20=0.80	11/ 20=0.55
		5	232/295=0.79	16/ 20=0.80	14/ 20=0.70	15/ 20=0.75
		6	227/295=0.77	16/ 20=0.80	13/ 20=0.65	15/ 20=0.75
		7	238/293=0.81	16/ 20=0.80	17/ 20=0.85	16/ 20=0.80
		8	245/296=0.83	14/ 20=0.70	15/ 20=0.75	14/ 20=0.70

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

ONE !,* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !,* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

^{*} SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

[!] SIGNIFICANT LINEAR RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE II STUDY ACUTE

COMPOUND 57

AVERAGE NUMBER OF IMPLANTATIONS PER PREGNANT FEMALE

LOG DOSE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONIROL	DOSE LEVEL 3000.0 MG/KG	POSITIVE CONTROL
		1	2428/198=12.3	189/ 16=11.8	85/ 8=10.6	99/ 11= 9.0**aaD **aaD
		2	2579/214=12.1	169/ 13=13.0 @I	143/ 13=11.0*@D	42/ 8= 5.3**aaD **aaD
		3	2673/222=12.0	183/ 15=12.2	158/ 12=13.2 *@I	83/ 13= 6.4**@@D **@@D
		4	2958/249=11.9	203/ 16=12.7	210/ 16=13.1	70/ 11= 6.4**@@D **@@D
		5	2760/232=11.9	201/ 16=12.6	177/ 14=12.6	173/ 15=11.5
		6	2728/227=12.0	177/ 16=11.1	175/ 13=13.5*@@I **@@]	
		7	2934/238=12.3	201/ 16=12.6	211/ 17=12.4	205/ 16=12.8
		8	2940/245=12.0	178/ 14=12.7	172/ 15=11.5	181/ 14=12.9 *@I

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

 ε AND \star = TWO-TAILED TEST ! AND ∂ = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE !, ε , ∂ , * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !, &, D, * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

"*. a SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL 8,! SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN) TABLE III
COMPOUND 57 STUDY ACUTE

AVERAGE CORPORA LUTEA PER PREGNANT FEMALE

LOG DOSE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 3000.0 MG/KG	POSITIVE CONTROL
		1	2816/198=14.2	221/ 16=13.8	117/ 8=14.6	135/ 11=12.3aD **aaD
		2	2987/214=14.0	195/ 13=15.0	175/ 13=13.50D	86/ 8=10.8**aaD **aaD
		3	3034/222=13.7	216/ 15=14.4	167/ 12=13.9	166/ 13=12.8
		4	3365/249=13.5	225/ 16=14.1	239/ 16=14.9 *@I	145/ 11=13.2
		5	3131/232=13.5	241/ 16=15.1 *@I	210/ 14=15.0 @I	238/ 15=15.9 **@DI
		6	3133/227=13.8	228/ 16=14.3	202/ 13=15.5 **@@	201/ 15=13.4 I
		7	3224/238=13.5	230/ 16=14.4	249/ 17=14.6	241/ 16=15.1 *@I
		8	3438/245=14.0	221/ 14=15.8 @I	229/ 15=15.3	207/ 14=14.8

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

& AND * = TWO-TAILED TEST
! AND @ = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE !.S. ϕ ,* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !.E. ϕ ,* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

*,0 SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

6.! SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE IV

· COMPOUND 57

STUDY ACUTE

AVERAGE PREIMPLANTATION LOSSES PER PREGNANT FEMALE

LOG DOSE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 3000.0 MG/KG	POSITIVE CONTROL
		1	388/198= 2.0	32/ 16= 2.0	32/ 8= 4.0	36/ 11= 3.3 *@I
		2	408/214= 1.9	26/ 13= 2.0	32/ 13= 2.5	44/ 8= 5.5*@@I **@@I
		3	361/222= 1.6	33/ 15= 2.2	9/ 12= 0.8aD	83/ 13= 6.4**@ƏI **@ƏI
		4	407/249= 1.6	22/ 16= 1.4	29/ 16= 1.8	75/ 11= 6.8**aaı **aaı
		5	371/232= 1.6	40/ 16= 2.5 *@@I	33/ 14= 2.4 *@@I	65/ 15= 4.3 **@@I
		6	405/227= 1.8	51/ 16= 3.2 *@@I	27/ 13= 2.1	13/ 15= 0.9**aaD ad
		7	290/238= 1.2	29/ 16= 1.8	38/ 17= 2.2 @I	36/ 16= 2.3 *@I
		8	498/245= 2.0	43/ 14= 3.1	57/ 15= 3.8 @I	26/ 14= 1.9

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

& AND * = TWO-TAILED TEST
! AND @ = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE !, &, D, * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !, &, D, * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

\$\footnote{\pi}\$ significantly different from control
\$\footnote{\pi}\$ significant relationship with arith or log dose (Heading of Column)

TABLE V

COMPOUND 57

STUDY ACUTE

AVERAGE RESORPTIONS (DEAD IMPLANTS) PER PREGNANT FEMALE

LOG DOSE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 3000.0 MG/KG	POSITIVE CONTROL
		1	69/198=0.35	10/ 16=0.63	6/ 8=0.75	76/ 11=6.91**@dI **@dI
		2	110/214=0.51	9/ 13=0.69	11/ 13=0.85	42/ 8=5.25**@@I **@@I
		3	132/222=0.59	9/ 15=0.60	11/ 12=0.92	80/ 13=6.15**ddI **ddI
		4	129/249=0.52	6/ 16=0.38	6/ 16=0.38	63/ 11=5.73**@@I **@@I
		5	138/232=0.59	6/ 16=0.38	14/ 14=1.00	60/ 15=4.00**aaI **aaI
·		6	139/227=0.61	8/ 16=0.50	8/ 13=0.62	12/ 15=0.80
		7	131/238=0.55	2/ 16=0.13 **ààD	7/ 17=0.41	8/ 16=0.50*@I
		8	140/245=0.57	4/ 14=0.29	13/ 15=0.87	15/ 14=1.07*aI

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

& AND * = TWO-TAILED TEST
! AND @ = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE !.E.D.* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !.E.D.* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

@ SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE VI COMPOUND 57 STUDY ACUTE

PROPORTION OF FEMALES WITH ONE OR MORE DEAD IMPLANTATIONS

OSE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 3000.0 MG/KG	POSITIVE CONTROL
		1	55/198=0.28	5/ 16=0.31	4/ 8=0.50	11/ 11=1.00**
		2	75/214=0.35	5/ 13=0.38	3/ 13=0.23	8/ 8=1.00** **
		3	85/222=0.38	7/ 15=0.47	7/ 12=0.58	13/ 13=1.00**
		ц	100/249=0.40	5/ 16=0.31	5/ 16=0.31	11/ 11=1.00**
		5	86/232=0.37	4/ 16=0.25	6/ 14=0.43	15/ 15=1.00**
		6	90/227=0.40	4/ 16=0.25	7/ 13=0.54	9/ 15=0.60*
		7	89/238=0.37	2/ 16=0.13	4/ 17=0.24	7/ 16=0.44*
		8	96/245=0.39	4/ 14=0.29	6/ 15=0.40	8/ 14=0.57

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

ONE !, * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !, * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

^{*} SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

[!] SIGNIFICANT LINEAR RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE VII
COMPOUND 57 STUDY ACUTE

COMPOUND ST

PORPORTION OF FEMALES WITH TWO OR MORE DEAD IMPLANTATIONS

OG OSE	ARITH DOSE WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 3000.0 MG/KG	POSITI VE CONTROL
	1	9/198=0.05	1/ 16=0.06	2/ 8=0.25	11/ 11=1.00**
	2	27/214=0.13	1/ 13=0.08	3/ 13=0.23	8/ 8=1.00**
	3	35/222=0.16	1/ 15=0.07	3/ 12=0.25	13/ 13=1.00**
	4	23/249=0.09	1/ 16=0.06	1/ 16=0.06	10/ 11=0.91**
	5	35/232=0.15	2/ 16=0.13	3/ 14=0.21	13/ 15=0.87**
	6	35/227=0.15	1/ 16=0.06	1/ 13=0.08	3/ 15=0.20
	7	29/238=0.12	0/16=0.0	1/ 17=0.06	1/ 16=0.06
	8	30/245=0.12	0/14=0.0	3/ 15=0.20	5/ 14=0.36*

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

ONE !,* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !,* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

^{*} SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

[!] SIGNIFICANT LINEAR RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

COMPOUND 57

TABLE VIII
STUDY ACUTE

DEAD IMPLANTS / TOTAL IMPLANTS

WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 3000.0 MG/KG	POSITIVE
1	69/2428=0.03	10/189=0.05	6/ 85=0.07	76/ 99=0.77**aaI **aaI
2	110/2579=0.04	9/169=0.05	11/143=0.08	42/ 42=1.00**@DI **@DI
3	132/2673=0.05	9/183=0.05	11/158=0.07	80/ 83=0.96**aai **aai
4	129/2958=0.04	6/203=0.03	6/210=0.03	63/ 70=0.90**aaI **aaI
5	138/2760=0.05	6/201=0.03 ap	14/177=0.08	60/173=0.35**aai **aai
6	139/2728=0.05	8/177=0.05	8/175=0.05	12/188=0.06
7	131/2934=0.04	2/201=0.01 **@@	7/211=0.03 D	8/205=0.04DI
8	140/2940=0.05	4/178=0.02 aD	13/172=0.08	15/181=0.08*@I

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

^{* =} TWO-TAILED TEST @ = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE *, a = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO *, a = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

^{*,} D SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

TABLE I

COMPOUND 57

STUDY SUBACUTE

FERTILITY INDEX

G SE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 1150.0 MG/KG
		1	195/299=0.65	11/ 20=0.55	15/ 20=0.75
		2	220/300=0.73	13/ 20=0.65	15/ 20=0.75
		ġ	230/299=0.77	14/ 20=0.70	17/ 20=0.85
		4	234/293=0.80	17/ 20=0.85	16/ 20=0.80
		5	238/297=0.80	15/ 20=0.75	18/ 20=0.90
		6	246/299=0.82	15/ 20=0.75	15/ 20=0.75
		7	245/295=0.83	12/ 20=0.60	15/ 20=0.75

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

ONE !,* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !,* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

^{*} SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

[!] SIGNIFICANT LINEAR RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE II

COMPOUND 57

STUDY SUBACUTE

AVERAGE NUMBER OF IMPLANTATIONS PER PREGNANT FEMALE

LOG DOSE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 1150.0 MG/KG
		1	2379/195=12.2	144/ 11=13.1 @I	169/ 15=11.3
		2	2691/220=12.2	141/ 13=10.8 *@D	167/ 15=11.1
		3	2773/230=12.1	169/ 14=12.1	182/ 17=10.7 aD
		4	2735/234=11.7	192/ 17=11.3	189/ 16=11.8

- 5 2885/238=12.1 194/ 15=12.9 203/ 18=11.3aD
- 6 2970/246=12.1 176/ 15=11.7 189/ 15=12.6
- 7 2872/245=11.7 141/ 12=11.8 171/ 15=11.4

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

& AND * = IWO-TAILED TEST
! AND @ = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE !, ε , ω , * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !, ε , ω , * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

*, D SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL 8,! SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE III

COMPOUND 57

STUDY SUBACUTE

AVERAGE CORPORA LUTEA PER PREGNANT FEMALE

LOG DOSE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 1150.0 MG/KG
		1	2711/195=13.9	158/ 11=14.4	205/ 15=13.7
		2	3067/220=13.9		197/ 15=13.1 **@@D *@D
		3	3158/230=13.7	196/ 14=14.0	247/ 17=14.5
		4	3056/234=13.1	220/ 17=12.9	213/ 16=13.3
		5	3269/238=13.7	·	244/ 18=13.60D
		6	3393/246=13.8	204/ 15=13.6	212/ 15=14.1
		7	3299/245=13.5	156/ 12=13.0	200/ 15=13.3

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

- & AND * = TWO-TAILED TEST ! AND D = ONE-TAILED TEST
- ONE !, ε , ∂ , * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !, ε , ∂ , * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01
- *, d SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL 8,! SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE IV COMPOUND 57

STUDY SUBACUTE

AVERAGE PREIMPLANTATION LOSSES PER PREGNANT FEMALE

ARITH DOSE		HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 1150.0 MG/KG
	1	332/195= 1.7	14/ 11= 1.3	36/ 15= 2.4
	2	376/220= 1.7	23/ 13= 1.8	30/ 15= 2.0
	3	385/230= 1.7	27/ 14= 1.9	65/ 17= 3.8aI **aaI
	4	321/234= 1.4	28/ 17= 1.6	24/ 16= 1.5
	5	384/238= 1.6	25/ 15= 1.7	41/ 18= 2.3 *@I
	6	423/246= 1.7	28/ 15= 1.9	23/ 15= 1.5
	7	427/245= 1.7	15/ 12= 1.3	29/ 15= 1.9

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

& AND * = TWO-TAILED TEST
! AND & = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE !, ε , ϑ , * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !, ε , ϑ , * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

*. D SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL S.! SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE V

COMPOUND 57

STUDY SUBACUTE

AVERAGE RESORPTIONS (DEAD IMPLANTS) PER PREGNANT FEMALE

LOG DOSE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 1150.0 MG/KG
		1	91/195=0.47	6/ 11=0.55	8/ 15=0.53
		2	150/220=0.68	22/ 13=1.69 @I	15/ 15=1.00 @I
		3	139/230=0.60	9/ 14=0.64	10/ 17=0.59
		4	122/234=0.52	4/ 17=0.24 ap	15/ 16=0.94
		5	146/238=0.61	12/ 15=0.80	6/ 18=0.33aD aD
		6	138/246=0.56	10/ 15=0.67	18/ 15=1.20
		7	155/245=0.63	8/ 12=0.67	13/ 15=0.87

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

& AND * = TWO-TAILED TEST
! AND D = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE !.E.D.* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !.E.D.* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

*, & SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

8,! SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE VI

COMPOUND 57

STUDY SUBACUTE

PROPORTION OF FEMALES WITH ONE OR MORE DEAD IMPLANTATIONS

LOG DOSE	A RITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 1150.0 MG/KG
		1	68/195=0.35	5/ 11=0.45	5/ 15=0.33
		2	89/220=0.40	9/ 13=0.69	10/ 15=0.67
		3	89/230=0.39	5/ 14=0.36	7/ 17=0.41
		4	85/234=0.36	4/ 17=0.24	6/ 16=0.38
		5	98/238=0.41	9/ 15=0.60	4/ 18=0.22*
		6	100/246=0.41	8/ 15=0.53	8/ 15=0.53
		7	85/245=0.35	4/ 12=0.33	6/ 15=0.40

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

ONE !,* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !,* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

^{*} SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

[!] SIGNIFICANT LINEAR RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE VII

COMPOUND 57

STUDY SUBACUTE

PORPORTION OF FEMALES WITH TWO OR MORE DEAD IMPLANTATIONS

LOG DOSE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 1150.0 MG/KG
		1	18/195=0.09	1/ 11=0.09	2/ 15=0.13
		2	30/220=0.14	3/ 13=0.23	4/ 15=0.27
		3	31/230=0.13	2/ 14=0.14	2/ 17=0.12
		4	26/234=0.11	0/17=0.0	2/ 16=0.13
		5	35/238=0.15	2/ 15=0.13	1/ 18=0.06
		6	29/246=0.12	1/ 15=0.07	4/ 15=0.27
		7	38/245=0.16	2/ 12=0.17	3/ 15=0.20

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

ONE !, * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !, * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

^{*} SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

[!] SIGNIFICANT LINEAR RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE VIII

COMPOUND 57

STUDY SUBACUTE

DEAD IMPLANTS / TOTAL IMPLANTS

WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 1150.0 MG/KG
1	91/2379=0.04	6/144=0.04	8/169=0.05
2	150/2691=0.06	22/141=0.16	15/167=0.09
3	139/2773=0.05	9/169=0.05	10/182=0.05
4	122/2735=0.04	4/192=0.02 aD	15/189=0.08
5	146/2885=0.05	12/194=0.06	6/203=0.03aD aD
6	138/2970=0.05	10/176=0.06	18/189=0.10
7	155/2872=0.05	8/141=0.06	13/171=0.08

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

* = TWO-TAILED TEST $\partial = ONE-TAILED TEST$

ONE *, a = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO *.0 = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

*. D SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

APPENDICES

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A. <u>Animal Husbandry</u>

1. Animals (Rats and Mice)

Ten to twelve week old rats (280 to 350 g) and male mice (25 to 30 g) were fed a commercial 4% fat diet and water <u>ad libitum</u> until they were put on experiment. Flow Laboratories random-bred, closed colony, Sprague-Dawley CD strain rats were used in the cytogenetic studies. Flow Laboratories ICR male mice were employed in the Host-Mediated Assay.

Preparation of Diet

A commercial 4% fat diet was fed to all animals. Periodic tests to verify the absence of coliforms, <u>Salmonella</u> and <u>Pseudomonas</u> sp. were performed.

3. Husbandry

Animals were held in quarantine for 4-11 days. Mice were housed five to a cage and rats one to five to a cage. Animals were identified by ear punch. Sanitary cages and bedding were used, and changed two times per week, at which time water containers were cleaned, sanitized and filled. Once a week, cages were repositioned on racks; racks were repositioned within rooms monthly. Personnel handling animals or working within animal facilities wore head coverings and face masks, as well as suitable garments. Individuals with respiratory or other overt infections were excluded from the animal facilities.

B. <u>Dosage Determination</u>

1. Acute LD_{50} and LD_{5} Determination Since the compounds proposed for testing are included in



the food additive regulations as "generally recognized as safe" (GRAS), it was expected that a large number of them would be sufficiently non-toxic so that determination of a LD_{50} or a LD_{5} would be of no practical value. In fact, this has been our experience with previously tested compounds from this list. In the case of these relatively non-toxic compounds, attempts were made to assure that the amounts to be administered would not affect the animals by means (mechanical, physical, etc.) related to their bulk rather than to their toxicity. In the cases of certain compounds where a LD_{50} or a LD_{5} could not be determined, an exceedingly high concentration, 5 g/kg, was employed and accepted as the LD_{5} level. In cases where the toxicity was high enough to allow determination of a LD_{5} , the following protocol was used.

Thirty rats of the strain chosen for studies described below and of approximately the age and weight specified were assigned at random to six groups. Each group was then given, using the chosen route of administration, one of a series of dosages of the test compound following a logarithmic dosage scheme. The series of dosages were derived from a consideration of whatever toxicity information was available for the particular test compound. The objective in selecting dosages was to choose values which would cause mortalities between 10% and 90%.

When information was inadequate to derive a suitable series of dosages, five rats were used to identify the proper range. Each of these was given one of a widely spaced (differing by 10%) series of doses. This was confidently expected to suffice for derivation of the series of dosages to be used in the LD_{50} determination.



The mortalities observed when the series of dosages were given to the 30 rats were then subjected to a probit analysis and calculation of LD_{50} , LD_{5} , slope and confidence limits by the method of Litchfield and . Wilcoxon. The highest dose level used was either a finite LD_{5} or 5000 mg/kg. The intermediate level used was either 1/10 of the finite LD_{5} or 2500 mg/kg. The low level used was either 1/100 of the finite LD_{5} or 30 mg/kg.

2. Subacute Studies

Subacute doses were identical to those used in the acute studies. Each subacute study animal was given the acute dosage once a day for each of five consecutive days (24 hours apart).

C. <u>Mutagenicity Testing Protocols</u>

1. Host-Mediated Assay

Flow Laboratories ICR random-bred male mice were used in this study. In the acute and subacute studies ten animals, 25-30 g each, were employed at each dose level. Solvent and positive controls were run at all times. The positive control (dimethyl nitrosamine) was run by the acute system only at a dose of 100 mg/kg for Salmonella. For yeast, ethyl methane sulfonate (EMS) intramuscularly injected at a dose of 350 mg/kg was used. The solvents used and the toxicity data are presented in the Results and Discussion Section of the report.


The indicator organisms used in this study were: (1) two histidine auxotrophs (his G-46, TA-1530) of <u>Salmonella typhimurium</u>, and (2) a diploid strain (D-3) of <u>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</u>. The induction of reverse mutation was determined with the <u>Salmonella</u>; mitotic recombination was determined with yeast. Chemicals were evaluated directly by <u>in vitro</u> bacterial and yeast studies prior to, or concurrent with, the studies in



mice. Only animals on the subacute studies were not fed the evening prior to compound administration. The Salmonella were carried in tryptone yeast extract gel, transferred weekly. They were transferred to tryptone yeast extract broth 48 hours before use: they were transferred a second time from broth to broth 24 hours prior to use, and again 8 hours before use. The mouse inoculum was prepared by transferring 4 ml of the 8-hour broth culture to 50 ml broth bottles which had been prewarmed at 37°C. Exponential log-phase organisms were inoculated intraperitoneally into the mice approximately 2-1/2 hours later when the appropriate density indicating 3.0 \times 10^8 cells/ml was reached. The Saccharomyces was carried in yeast complete agar. The inoculum was prepared by harvesting the organisms from the surface of the plates with sterile saline. The cells were washed three times with sterile saline and suspended in a concentration of 5.0 \times 10⁸ cells/ml. Two ml of the suspension was inoculated into each mouse intraperitoneally. Total plate counts on Salmonella were on tryptone yeast extract and for Saccharomyces on yeast complete medium.

a. Acute study

Three dosage levels (usage, intermediate [determined as discussed previously], and LD_5) were administered orally by intubation to ten mice. Positive controls and negative vehicle controls were included in each study. All animals received 2 ml of the indicator organism intraperitoneally. Each ml contained 3.0 x 10^8 cells for Salmonella and 5.0 x 10^8 cells for Saccharomyces. Three hours later, each animal was killed and 2 ml of sterile saline was introduced intraperitoneally. As much fluid as possible was then aseptically removed from the peritoneal cavity. Dilution blanks for bacteria containing 4.5 ml of serile saline were prepared in advance. Tenfold serial




dilutions were made of each peritoneal exudate (0.5 ml exudate + 4.5 ml saline) yielding a concentration series from 10^0 (undiluted peritoneal exudate) through 10^{-7} . For enumeration of total bacterial counts, the 10^{-6} and 10^{-7} dilutions were plated on tryptone yeast extract agar, 3 plates/sample, 0.2 ml sample/ plate. Each sample was spread over the surface of the plate using a bent glass rod immersed in 95% ethanol and flamed just prior to use. In plating for the total mutant counts on minimal agar, the 10^0 dilution was used, 0.2 ml being plated on each of 5 plates. The plating procedure was identical to that followed for the tryptone yeast extract agar plates. All plates were incubated at 37°C, tryptone yeast extract agar plates for 18 hours and minimal agar plates for 40 hours. For yeast mitotic recombination, dilution blanks containing 4.5 ml of sterile saline were prepared in advance. Tenfold serial dilutions were made of each sample yielding a series from 10^{0} to 10^{-5} . Samples of 0.1 ml of the 10^{-5} , 10^{-4} , and 10^{-3} dilutions were removed and plated on complete medium (10 plates each). All plates were incubated at 30° C for 40 hours. The 10^{-5} dilutions were used to determine total populations and the 10^{-4} and 10^{-3} plates were examined after an additional 40 hours at 4°C for red sectors indicating a mutation. Bacterial scoring was calculated as follows:

Total mutants on 5 plates x appropriate exponent = CFU/ml (CFU is Colony Forming Units) of sample plated CFU/ml x one/dilution factor ($10^{0} - 10^{-7}$) = CFU/ml in undiluted exudate. The mutation frequency (MF) calculated for each sample was:

 $MF = \frac{\text{total mutant cells}}{\text{total population}}$

 $MFt/MFc = \frac{MF \text{ of experimental sample}}{MF \text{ of control sample}}$

(MFt/MFc = 1.00 for control sample)



Yeast mitotic recombinants (presumptive <u>ade 2</u>, <u>his 8</u> homozygotes) were seen as red colonies or as red sectors on a normally white yeast colony. The plates (from 10^{-4} and 10^{-3} dilutions) were scanned under the 10X lens of a dissecting scope to enumerate the red colonies and sectors. Population determinations were made from the 10^{-5} dilution plates. A recombinant frequency (RF) was calculated:


RF = total recombinants counted total number colonies screened

b. Subacute study

Similar groups of animals at each dose level received five oral doses of the test compound 24 hours apart. Within 30 minutes after the last dosing, the animals were inoculated with the test organism and handled in the same fashion as those in the acute study.

c. <u>In vitro</u> study

Cultures of <u>S. typhimurium</u> histidine auxotrophs (G-46 and TA-1530) were plated on appropriate media. The test compound was then added to the plate, either in the form of a microdrop of solution (0.01 to 0.25 ml) applied to a small filter paper disc resting on the agar or a small crystal applied directly to the agar. Tenfold serial dilutions of the culture were employed and plated so as not to miss the optimum cell density for mutant growth. Mutant colonies were observed and scored. Strain D-3 <u>Saccharomyces</u> cells at proper dilutions were shaken with the test compound, diluted, and plated at 50% survival level or above (see HMA Supplementary Materials and Methods). Red sectors were then scored and the frequency calculated after suitable incubation. Negative and positive controls were run concurrently. The positive control was EMS for <u>Salmonella</u> and <u>Saccharomyces</u>. The <u>in vitro Salmonella</u> tests were reported



as (+) or (-) or questionable; the <u>in vitro Saccharomyces</u> tests were reported as sample concentrations, percent survival, and recombinants/ 10^5 survivors. For the <u>Saccharomyces</u> a 50% survival level, e.g., an arbitrary 5.0% w/v test level, was used when no LD₅₀ was determinable.

2. Cytogenetic Studies

a. <u>In vivo</u> study

Ten to twelve week old, male, albino rats obtained from a closed colony (random-bred) were used. A total of 59 animals in the acute study and 18 animals in the subacute study was used, as illustrated in the following protocol.

Number of Animals Used

Acute Study

Treatment	Time Kill	ed After Admi	nistration
	6 Hours	24 Hours	48 Hours
High Level	5	5	5
Intermediate Level	5	5	5
Low Level	5	5	5
Positive Control	0	0	5
Negative Control	3	3	3


Subacute Study

Five doses 24 hours apart; animals killed 6 hours after last dose.

Treatment	Killed After Administration
High Level	5
Intermediate Level	5
Low Level	5
Negative Control	3


All animals were dosed by gastric intubation.

Four hours after the last compound administration, and two hours prior to killing, each animal was given 4 mg/kg of colcemid intra-



peritoneally in order to arrest the bone marrow cells in C-mitosis. Animals were killed by using CO₂, and the adhering muscle and epiphysis of one femur were removed. The marrow "plug" was removed with a tuberculin syringe and an 18 gauge needle, aspirated into 5 ml of Hanks' balanced salt solution (BSS) in a test tube and capped. The specimens were centrifuged at 1,500 RPM in a table-top centrifuge for 5 minutes, decanted, and 2 ml of hypotonic 0.5% KCl solution was added with gentle agitation to resuspended the cells. The specimens were then placed in a 37°C water bath for 20 minutes in order to swell the cells. Following centrifugation for 5 minutes at 1,500 RPM, the supernatant was decanted and 2 ml of fixative (3:I absolute methanol:glacial acetic acid) was added. The cells were resuspended in the fixative with gentle agitation, capped, and placed at 4°C for 30 minutes. The specimens were again centrifuged, decanted, 2 ml of prepared fixative was added, and the cells were resuspended and placed at 4°C overnight.

The following day the specimens were again centrifuged, decanted and 0.3 - 0.6 ml of freshly prepared fixative was added to obtain a suitable density. The cells were resuspended and 2 - 3 drops of the suspension were allowed to drop onto a clean, dry slide held at 15° from the horizontal. As the suspension flowed to the edge of the slide, it was ignited by an alcohol burner and allowed to flame. Following ignition, the slides were allowed to dry at room temperature overnight. Duplicate slides were prepared. The slides were stained using a 5% Giemsa solution (Giemsa buffer pH 7.2) for 20 minutes, rinsed in acetone, 1:1 acetone:xylene, and placed in fresh xylene for 30 minutes. The slides were then mounted using Permount (Fisher Scientific) and 24 x 50 mm coverglasses. The coverglasses were selected to be 0.17 mm \pm 0.005 mm in thickness by use of a coverglass micrometer. The preparations




were examined using Leitz Ortholux I & II microscopes with brightfield optics and xenon light sources. These specimens were scanned with 10X and 24X objectives and suitable metaphase spreads that were countable were then examined critically using 40X, 63X or 100X oil immersion flatfield apochromatic objectives. Oculars were either 12X or 16X widefield periplanatics and the tube magnification either 1X or 1.25X. The filters used were either a didymium (BG20) or a Schott IL570 mu interference filter.

The chromosomes of each cell were counted and only diploid cells were analyzed. They were scored for chromatid gaps and breaks, chromosome gaps and breaks, reunions, cells with greater than ten aberrations, polyploidy, pulverization, and any other chromosomal aberrations which were observed. They were recorded on the currently used forms and expressed as percentages on the summary sheets. Fifty metaphase spreads were scored per animal. Mitotic indices were obtained by counting at least 500 cells and the ratio of the number of cells in mitosis/the number of cells observed was expressed as the mitotic index.

Positive controls in the acute study consisted of animals which had been given the known mutagen Triethylene Melamine (TEM) administered intraperitoneally at a level of 0.30 mg/kg. Negative controls on the acute and subacute studies consisted of the vehicle in which the compound was administered. The dosage levels, solvents and toxicity data are included in the Results and Discussion Section of the report.

b. <u>In vitro</u> study

Human embryonic lung cultures (WI-38) which were negative for adventitious agents (viruses, mycoplasma) which may interfere



were used. These cells were employed at passage level 19. The cells had been transferred using 0.025% trypsin and planted in 32 oz. prescription bottles containing 40 ml of tissue culture medium. When growth was approximately 95% confluent the cells were removed from the glass using trypsin, centrifuged, and frozen in tissue culture medium containing dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO). Cells were frozen in vials in the vapor phase of liquid nitrogen at a concentration of 2 \times 10⁶ cells/ml. When needed, the vials were removed from liquid nitrogen, quick-thawed in a 37°C water bath, washed free of DMSO, suspended in tissue culture medium (minimal essential medium [MEM] plus 1% glutamine, 200 units/ml of penicillin and 200 $\mu g/ml$ of streptomycin and 15% fetal calf serum) and planted in milk dilution bottles at a concentration of 5 \times 10^5 cells/ml. The test compound was added at three dose levels using three bottles for each level, 24 hours after planting. The dose levels required a preliminary determination of a tissue culture toxicity. This was accomplished by adding logarithmic doses of the compound in saline to a series of tubes containing 5 x 10^5 cells/ml which were almost confluent. The cells were examined at 24, 48, and 72 hours. Any cytopathic effect (CPE) or inhibition of mitoses was scored as toxicity. Five more closely spaced dose levels were employed within the two logarithmic dosages, the higher of which showed toxicity and the lower no effect. The solvents used and the range finding data are presented in the toxicity data report under Results and Discussion. The dose level below the lowest toxic level was employed as the high level. Logarithmic dose levels were employed for the medium and low levels.

Cells were incubated at 37°C and examined twice daily to determine when an adequate number of mitoses were present. Cells were harvested by shaking when sufficient mitoses were observed, usually 24°-48



hours after planting, centrifuged, and fixed in absolute methanol:glacial acetic acid (3:1) for 30 minutes.

The specimens were centrifuged, decanted, and suspended in acetic acid-orcein stain (2.0%) and a drop of suspension placed on a clean dry slide. Selected coverglasses 0.17 mm in thickness were placed on the suspension and the excess stain gently expressed from the slide. The coverglasses were sealed with clear nail polish and examined immediately.

The microscopes, objectives, oculars, filters and light sources were enumerated under the metaphase description. Positive controls used were TEM (at a concentration of 0.1 mcg/ml dissolved in saline) and negative controls which consisted of the vehicle in which the test compound was dissolved, which was 0.85% saline. Data were reported on forms currently used and expressed as percentages on the anaphase summary sheets.

3. Dominant Lethal Assay

In this test, male and female random bred rats from a closed colony were employed. These animals were 10-12 weeks old at the time of use. Ten male rats were assigned to each of 5 groups; 3 dose levels selected as described above, a positive control (triethylene melamine) (TEM) and a negative control (solvent only). The positive control was administered intraperitoneally. Administration of the test compound was orally by intubation in both the acute study (1 dose) and in the subacute study (1 dose per day for 5 days). Following treatment, the males were sequentially mated to 2 females per week for 8 weeks (7 weeks in the subacute study). Two virgin female rats were housed with a male for 5 days (Monday through Friday). These two females were removed and housed in a cage until killed. The male was rested on Saturday and Sunday and two new females introduced to the cage on



Monday. It has been our experience that conception has taken place in more than 90% of the females by Friday and that the two day rest is beneficial to the male as regards subsequent weekly matings. Females were killed using CO₂. at 14 days after separating from the male, and at necropsy the uterus was examined for deciduomata (early deaths), late fetal deaths and total implantations.

Sufficient animals were provided in our experimental design to accommodate for any reduction in the number of conceptions. Each male was mated with two females per week, and this provided for an adequate number of implantations per group per week (200 minimum) for negative controls, even if there was a fourfold reduction in fertility of implantations. Results were analyzed according to the statistical procedures described in Supplementary Materials and Mathods. Corpora lutea, early fetal deaths, late fetal deaths and total implantations per uterine horn were recorded on the raw data sheets, which are submitted separately.

- D. Supplementary Materials and Methods
 - 1. Host-Mediated Assay <u>In Vitro</u> and Formulae
 - Bacterial <u>in vitro</u> plate tests


This method has been published by Ames: The Detection of Chemical Mutagens with Enteric Bacteria, in <u>Chemical Mutagens</u>; <u>Principles and Methods for Their Detection</u>, Vol. 1, Chapter 9, pp. 267-282, A. Hollaender, Editor, Plenum Press, New York (1971).

- b. <u>In vitro</u> for mitotic recombination
- (1) Strain D-3 was grown to stationary phase on complete medium agar plates at 30°C (3-4 days). Cells were rinsed from the plates and washed twice in saline and cell concentration determined spectro-



photometrically. (A standard curve previously determined for colony forming units versus % transmittance at 545 mu was easily used.)

- (2) Cells from the concentration suspension were diluted appropriately into 0.067 M Phosphate buffer pH 7.2 to provide 5×10^7 cells/ml in a total of 25 ml.
- (3) The test chemical was first tested for 4 hours at 30°C, with shaking, at concentrations which permitted determination of the 50% survival level. Then, if not included in the first experiment, the compound was tested again only at the 50% survival level. If 50% survival level could not be determined, the arbitrary test level of 5% w/v was used.
- plated on complete agar medium for determination of total population and red sectors. Total surviving population was conveniently measured on plates of 10^{-4} and 10^{-5} dilutions using 0.2 ml per plate (5 plates), and sectors determined on plates of 10^{-3} and 10^{-4} dilutions using 0.2 ml per plate (5 plates). Plates were incubated for 2 days at 30°C followed by a holding period of 2 days at 4°C to promote color development with limited enlargement of the colonies. Red sectors were scored by systematically scanning the plates with a dissecting microscope at 10X magnification.
- (5) The frequency of red sectors can then be calculated and may be expressed conveniently as sectors per 10⁵ survivors for comparison with untreated controls.
- (6) Ethyl Methane Sulfonate (EMS) was employed as the positive control in both <u>in vitro</u> systems.
 - c. Minimal medium (bacteria):
 Spizizen's Minimal Medium:



4X Salt Solution:

 $(NH_4) SO_4$ 8.0 gm

 K_2HP0_4 56.0 gm

KH2P04 24.0 gm

Na Citrate 4.0 gm

 $Mg SO_{\Lambda}$ 0.8 gm

Biotin 0.004 gm

 H_2O qs to 1 liter Sterilize by autoclaving (121°C/15 min.)

Medium:

4X Salt Solution :250 ml

5.0% Glucose (sterile) :100 ml (If histidine is added

at concentration of 30 mg/liter, this becomes a complete bacterial medium.)

1.5% Bacto-agar :650 ml (sterile)

d. Complete medium (bacteria):

> Bacto-Tryptone 1.0 gm

> Yeast-Extract. 0.5 gm

> Bacto-Agar 2.0 gm

> Distilled H₂O 100.0 ml

Sterilize by autoclaving (121°C for 15 minutes).

Complete medium (yeast): e.

> KH2P04 1.5 gm

> $MgSO_4$ 0.5 gm

> $(NH_4)_2SO_4$ 4.5 gm

Peptone 3.5 gm

Yeast-Extract 5.0 gm

Glucose 20.0 gm

Agar 20.0 gm

Distilled H_2O 1000.0 m}

Sterilize by autoclaving (121°C for 15 minutes).

 Cytogenetics <u>In Vitro</u> Preparation of Anaphase Chromosomes (from Nichols, 1970)

"Anaphase preparations may be made by several methods. One convenient approach is to grow cells directly on coverslips in petri dishes. With human fibroblasts 400,000 cells added to a 22 x 44 mm coverslip in a 50 mm petri dish grown in a 5% CO₂ atmosphere in air has proved very satisfactory. When adequate numbers of mitoses are visualized directly utilizing an inverted microscope (usually 48 to 92 hours after planting) the coverslip is transferred to absolute ethanol for 15 minutes for fixation. They are then stained with any one of a number of suitable stains (Fuelgen, May-Grunwald-Giemse, orcein) and attached to a slide with mounting media for evaluation. Anaphase preparations may also be prepared on cells grown in suspension or cells from a monolayer that have been put into suspension. In this instance the cells are centrifuged and fixed with the squash fixative. They are then suspended in the stain and a drop of the suspension put on the slide and covered with a coverslip. However, in this case, only the excess stain is gently expressed from under the coverslip and no squashing is carried out. In anaphase preparations no pretreatment with colchicine or hypotonic expansion is used and no technique for spreading the cells is used, so that the spindle and normal relationships of the chromosomes are not disturbed."



- 3. Statistical Analyses of Dominant Lethal Studies

 The following statistical analyses were employed as a means of analyzing the results of the dominant lethal studies.
 - a. The fertility index

The number of pregnant females/number of mated females with the chi-square was used to compare each treatment to the control. Armitage's trend was used for linear proportions to test whether the fertility index was linearly related to arithmetic or log dose.

b. Total number of implantations

The t-test was used to determine significant differences between average number of implantations per pregnant female for each treatment compared to the control. Regression techniques were used to determine whether the average number of implantations per female was related to the arithmetic or log dose.

c. Total number of corpora lutea

The t-test was used to determine significant differences between average number of <u>corpora lutea</u> per pregnant female for each treatment compared to the control.

d. Preimplantation losses

Preimplantation losses were computed for each female by subtracting the number of implantations from the number of corpora lutea. Freeman-Tukey transformation was used on the preimplantation losses for each female and then the t-test was used to compare each treatment to control. Regression technique was used to determine whether the average number of preimplantation losses per female was related to the arithmetic or log dose.



e. Dead implants

Dead implants were treated the same as preimplantation losses.

f. One or more dead implants

The proportion of females with one or more dead implants was computed, each treatment compared to control by chi-square test and Armitage's trend used for linear proportions to see if proportions were linearly related to either arithmetic or log dose. Also, probit regression analysis was used to determine whether the probit of the proportions was related to log dose.

g. Two or more dead implantsThe proportion of females with two or more dead

Dead implants per total implants

implants computed was treated same as above (f).


h.

Dead implants per total implants were computed for each female and used Freeman-Tukey arc-sine transformation on data for each female; then used t-test to compare each treatment to control.

Historical control data was compiled on a continuous basis as studies were completed. In addition to comparing each treatment to control, as outlined above, each treatment was compared to a historical control.

In order to take variation between males into account, a nested model was used. An analysis of across weeks is also provided.

In addition to these tests, the distribution forms of the various parameters were tested in order to evaluate the appropriateness of some of the tests being used. Certain correlations between parameters may exist and were examined as one step to determine the appropriateness of models. If necessary, alternate test methods were implemented.



The results are presented in tabular form with the addition of historical control information. In addition to these tables, a written report of all findings is provided. As information became available from the on-going investigation of these data, it was reported and suggestions included for changes to the methods of analysis. The statistical reports give the level of significance using both a one-tailed and two-tailed test. Finally, a summary sheet for each study is provided.

MAODEL

Females within !ales within Groups

Males are randomly drawn from infinite population

G. C. Sandana Caracteria	df.	S . S .	M,S	E(MS)	g, 30m
TOTAL			-2	- Marie Marie Carlo Carlo Managard Managard (20) & College of the Assay (1995) (20)	-
GROUPS MALES HITHIN GROUPS	CO	202 (Gi G) = ass (Gii - Gi.)	Si Si	6 + 20 1 120 2 1 6 + 20 1	
REMAINDER	30	हहर्ट (प्रांध - प्रिंध) रे	p	2	and the same of th

E. References

- 1. Host-Mediated Assay
 - a. Gabridge, M.G., Denunzio, A. and Legator, M.S.:
 Nature, 221:68, 1969.
 - b. Gabridge, M.G., Denunzio, A. and Legator, M.S.: Science, 163:689, 1969.
 - c. Gabridge, M.G. and Legator, M.S.: Proc. Soc. Exptl. Biol. Ned., <u>130</u>:831, 1969.
 - d. Gabridge, M.G., Oswald, E.J. and Legator, M.S.: Mut. Res., 7:117, 1969.
 - e. Legator, M.S. and Malling, H.V.: In, <u>Environmental</u>

 <u>Chemical Mutagens</u>, A. Hollaender (Ed.), Plenum

 Publishing Corp., New York, in press.

2. Cytogenetics


- a. Nichols, V.W.: Personal communication.
- b. Legator, M.S.: In, <u>Laboratory Diagnosis of Diseases</u>
 <u>Caused by Toxic Agents</u>, F. W. Sunderman and F. W.
 Sunderman (Ed.), Warren H. Green, Inc., St. Louis,
 pp. 17-22, 1970.
- c. Hsu, T.C. and Patton, J.L.: Technical Addendum in, <u>Comparative Mammalian Cytogenetics</u>, K. Benirschke (Ed.), Springer-Verlag, New York, pp. 454-460, 1969.
- d. Legator, M.S. et al.: Cytogenetic studies in rats of cyclohexylamine, a metabolite of cyclamate. Science, 165:1139, 1969.

Dominant Lethal

- a. Bateman, A.J.: Genet. Res. Comb., 1:381, 1960.
- b. Bateman, A.J.: Nature, <u>210</u>:205, 1966.
- c. Ehling, U.H., Cumming, R.B. and Malling, H. \mathring{V} .:
 Mut. Res., 5:417, 1968.
- d. Epstein, S.S. and Shafner, H.: Nature, <u>219</u>: 385, 1968.

F. Abbreviations

- 1. mu = micron
- 2. mcg = ug = microgram
- 3. g = gram
- 4. kg = kilogram
- 5. ml = milliliter
- 6. rpm = revolutions per minute
- 7. °C = degrees centigrade
- 8. pH = power of the hydrogen ion concentration to the base 10
- 9. M = molar solution
- 10. conc. = concentration
- 11. MTD = maximum tolerated dosage = High = LD_5 if determined or else exceedingly high dose, such as 5 g/kg
- 12. INT = intermediate = medium level
- 13. USE = usage level if known = low level
- 14. BSS = balanced salt solution
- 15. C-metaphase = cells arrested in metaphase, using colchine or colcemid
- 16. LD_{50} = that dosage which produced 50% mortality in the group of animals treated
- 17. LD₅ = that dosage which produced 5% mortality in the group
 of animals treated
- 18. NC = negative control
- 19. PC = positive control
- 20. AU = acute usage level (low level)
- 21. AI = acute intermediate level (medium level)



- 23. SAU = subacute usage level (low level)
- 24. SAI = subacute intermediate level (medium level) .
- 25. SA LD_5 = subacute LD_5 level (MTD level, high level)
- 26. $C0_2$ = carbon dioxide
- 27. DMN = Dimethyl nitrosamine
- 28. EMS = Ethyl methane sulfonate
- 29. TEM = Triethylene melamine
- 30. DMSO = Dimethyl sulfoxide
- 31. MEM = minimal essential medium (Eagle's)
- 32. CPE = cytopathic effect
- 33. his = histidine marker
- 34. D-3 = mitotic recombinant strain of <u>Saccharomy</u>ces
- 35. mf = mean mutant frequency
- 36. MFt/MFc = mean mutant frequency of the test compound group compared to mean mutant frequency of the negative control group
- 37. CFU = colony forming units
- 38. WI-38 = code name for a strain of human embryonic lung tissue culture cells
- 39. Rec x 10^5 = mitotic recombinants x 10^5
- 40. Mean B/A = mean frequency
- 41. tot. scr. = total scored
- 42. tot. = total
- 43. χ^2 = a test of variation in the data from the computed regression line tested in these studies at the 5% level
- 44. Aber. = aberrations
- 45. Frag. = fragment
- 46. HMA = host-mediated assay

